

Juvenile Myelomonocytic Leukemia: Experience from a Tertiary Care Hospital in Eastern India

Kaustav Ghosh, Subham Bhattacharya, Shipla Roy, Prakas Kumar Mandal, Abhishek Sharma, Shuvraneel Baul, Sandeep Saha, Rajib De, Tuphan Kanti Dolai

Nilratan Sircar Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata, West Bengal, India.

Abstract

Background and objective: Juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia (JMML), previously known as juvenile chronic myeloid leukemia, is a rare, aggressive myeloproliferative neoplasm primarily affecting early childhood. Diagnosing JMML can be challenging due to overlapping clinical and hematological features with other myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPNs). However, unique characteristics such as monocytosis, the absence of BCR-ABL translocation, and the presence of specific mutations (PTPN-11, K-RAS, N-RAS, CBL, or NF1) aid in confirming the diagnosis. **Material and methods:** This prospective analysis included six JMML patients with varying clinical features treated with injection azacitidine as frontline therapy over a two-year study period. **Results:** The median age at diagnosis was 4.5 years, with a male-to-female ratio of 2:4. Pallor and splenomegaly were the most common presenting signs. Four patients (66.67%) achieved complete remission (CR), two patients (33.33%) had partial remission (PR), and one patient (16.67%) experienced progressive disease (PD). The overall survival rate was 66.67% (four out of six), and the mortality rate was 33.33%. **Conclusion:** Azacitidine can be an effective treatment option as frontline therapy for JMML, particularly in resource-limited developing countries.

Keywords: JMML- Myeloproliferative neoplasm- Monocytosis- Mutation

Asian Pac J Cancer Care, 9 (1), 161-164

Submission Date: 10/01/2023 Acceptance Date: 12/08/2023

Introduction

JMML is a rare haematological neoplasm accounting for 2–3% of all childhood malignancies and characterised by excessive proliferation of myeloid and monocytic lineages [1]. Males are more affected than females, and the median age of presentation is 2 years, with >90% having mutations in the RAS signalling pathway [2]. Close differentials include other MPNs, herpes virus infection, leukocyte adhesion defects, hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis, infantile malignant osteopetrosis, and wiskott-aldrich syndrome [3]. Diagnosis is made by WHO criteria fulfilling all the four major clinical/haematological criteria (peripheral blood monocyte count $\geq 1 \times 10^9/L$, blast percentage in peripheral blood and bone marrow <20%, splenomegaly, and absence of philadelphia chromosome (BCR/ABL rearrangement), with either one genetic finding (somatic mutation in PTPN11 or K-RAS or N-RAS or RRAS, germline NF1 mutation and loss of heterozygosity of NF1 or clinical

diagnosis of neurofibromatosis type 1, germline CBL mutation and loss of heterozygosity of CBL) [4].

Materials and Methods

This was a prospective analysis of six cases diagnosed as JMML as per the WHO criteria [4], over a study period of two years from March 2021 to February 2023 in the Department of Haematology at Nil Ratan Sircar Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata, India.

In all the cases, morphological evaluation in peripheral blood smears and bone marrow aspiration with biopsy smears was done. Reverse transcriptase Polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for BCR-ABL qualitative assay from peripheral blood proved negative in all the cases, and conventional cytogenetics was done from bone marrow sample. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) was done from peripheral blood only in selected cases due to

Corresponding Author:

Dr. Subham Bhattacharya
Nilratan Sircar Medical College and Hospital, Kolkata, West Bengal, India.
Email: drsubham@rediffmail.com

financial reasons.

All diagnosed JMML patients were included in the study, and those who proceeded with hematopoietic stem cell transplant (HSCT) were excluded from the study. All patients received injection azacytidine @ 75mg/m²/day intravenously daily for seven days per month as frontline therapy [5]. The Centre for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research (CIBMTR) guidelines were followed for the determination of JMML response criteria [6].

Results

A total of eight JMML cases were screened during the study period, out of which two proceeded with HSCT and were excluded from the study. Hence, a total of six patients were included in the present study.

All six patients characteristics are summarised in Table 1. The median age was 4.5 years, and the male: female ratio was 2:4.

Fever (50%) and pallor (100%) were the most common presenting complaints, and one patient presented with right eye proptosis (16.67%) (Figure 1). Splenomegaly (100%) was the most common sign, which was present in all the patients. Hepatomegaly and lymphadenopathy (LAP) were present in three out of six patients (50%).

The median total leukocyte count (TLC) was 57.9 x 10⁹/l (range 28.77–95.79), and the median absolute monocyte count was 11.13 x 10⁹/l (range 4.56–24.47). Anaemia (100%) and thrombocytopenia (100%) were present in all the cases. Dysgranulopoiesis (100%) in bone marrow examination was evident in all six patients, and dysmegakryopoiesis was present in two out of six patients (33.34%).

Table 1. JMML Patient Characteristics (n=6)

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6
Age (years)/Sex	5/Female	8/Female	6/Male	5/Female	1/Female	4/Male
Presentation	Fever, Pallor, Orbital mas	Pallor, Epistaxis	Pallor, abdominal fullness	Fever, Pallor	Pallor, Multiple petechial spots	Fever, Pallor
Clinical findings	Spleen 4cm Cervical LAP	Liver 2cm Spleen 6cm	Liver 10cm Spleen 14 cm Cervical and axillary LAP	Spleen 2cm	Liver 2cm Spleen 2cm Cervical LAP	Spleen 4cm
TLC (x 10 ⁹ /l)	78.72	54.56	39.92	124.56	61.24	28.77
Absolute monocyte count (x 10 ⁹ /l)	16.45	9.92	6.32	24.47	12.34	4.56
Myeloid/erythroid precursors in PB	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hb (g/dl)	5	7.8	4	7.5	5.8	9.2
Platelet (x 10 ⁹ /l)	12	38	5	9	45	39
Blasts % (PB/BM)	2/5	1/4	2/8	3/10	3/5	2/3
Dysplastic lineage	Dysgranulopoiesis Dysmegakaryopoiesis	Dysgranulopoiesis	Dysgranulopoiesis	Dysgranulopoiesis Dysmegakaryopoiesis	Dysgranulopoiesis	Dysgranulopoiesis
Cytogenetics	Trisomy 8	Normal	Trisomy 8	Monosomy 7	Trisomy 8	Normal
Molecular profile	NRAS+	NRAS+	NA	NA	NA	CBL+
Chemotherapy cycle	1 st cycle azacitidine 2 nd cycle vincristine+ cytarabine + etoposide	2 nd cycle azacitidine	2 cycles azacitidine	1 st cycle azacitidine	13 cycles azacitidine/ 13 months	5 cycles azacitidine/ 5 months
Outcome / Follow up	Dead	Alive/ 2 months	Lost to follow up/ 3 months	Dead	Alive	Alive
Response	PD	PR	CR	PR	CR	CR




Figure 1. Clinical and Haematological Features in JMML Patients. 1. A) Case 1 presenting as right eye proptosis. 1. B) Peripheral smear from Case 3 patient showing myeloid precursors (PM-Promyelocytes, MY-Myelocytes) along with dysmyelopoiesis in the form of dysplastic neutrophil (DN) and monocytosis (M)

In the cytogenetic studies, two patients had trisomy 8 (33.33%), and one patient had monosomy 7 (16.67%). NGS could be done on three patients, out of whom two (33.33%) had mutations in the NRAS gene and one (16.67%) had mutation in the CBL gene.

Azacitidine was given to all the patients. Case 1 was unresponsive to azacitidine; hence, a salvage chemotherapy cycle was given with vincristine, cytarabine, and etoposide, during which the patient succumbed to death. Four patients (66.67%) achieved complete remission (CR), two patients (33.33%) had partial remission (PR), and one patient (16.67%) had progressive disease (PD). The overall survival rate was 66.67% (four out of six), and the mortality rate was 33.33%. The mean duration of follow-up was 2.5 months (range 1–13). One patient lost follow-up after three cycles, and three alive patients are currently on their second, fifth, and 13th cycles, respectively.

Discussion

JMML is a lethal clonal myeloproliferative disorder characterised by the uncontrolled proliferation of myeloid and monocytic cell lineages due to mutations in the RAS signalling pathways. The median age in our study was 4.5 years (range 1–9 years) with female predominance, which was comparable to the study done by Saha et al [7], where the median age was 5 years with the majority being female. However, study done by Subramanian et al [8] showed male predominance in their JMML case series. Common clinical findings include symptoms and signs of anaemia, thrombocytopenia, and hepatosplenomegaly, as seen in our study, which was comparable to the studies done by Ghariani et al [9]. Ocular involvement as seen in case 1, is a rare finding in JMML, and only a few cases have been described in the literature regarding the same [10,11].

Although the median TLC count reported in a large cohort of patients was $33 \times 10^9/L$ (range $5-259 \times 10^9/L$) [12], we found both TLC and absolute monocyte count on the higher side. Peripheral smear and bone marrow examination showed monocytosis, left shift, along with dysmyelopoiesis and dysmegakaryopoiesis, which was consistent with the literature [13]. Trisomy 8 was the most

common cytogenetic abnormality seen in our study, which was comparable to the trisomy case series done by Azma et al [14]. Genetic study is of utmost importance in JMML, as wait and watch is the policy in the case of mutations in the CBL gene and a few cases of NRAS gene mutations. Although PTPN11 is the most common mutation seen in JMML (35% cases) [2], in the present study, out of four patients in whom genetic testing was successful, there were 50% cases with the NRAS mutation.

We used azacitidine (a DNA methyltransferase-inhibiting azanucleoside assumed to reverse epigenetic dysregulation in malignant cells) as a frontline therapy in all the cases. Although the overall survival rate in JMML is poor, with 5-year survival rates being 50% even after allogeneic HSCT [2], our results using azacitidine as a frontline therapy are promising, with an overall survival rate of 66.66%. Complete remission (CR) was observed in 50% of the cases, which was similar to the study done by Stenger et al [5] in which CR was observed in nine out of 18 patients (50%).

In conclusion, JMML is a rare, unique, and aggressive disease with clinical and hematological overlap with other myeloproliferative neoplasms. An accurate diagnosis is important for early treatment initiation. Azacitidine is an effective treatment option, especially in resource poor developing countries.

Declaration of patient consent

The authors certify that they have obtained all appropriate patient consent.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Cazzola M. Introduction to a review series: the 2016 revision of the WHO classification of tumors of hematopoietic and lymphoid tissues. *Blood*. 2016 05 19;127(20):2361-2364. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-03-657379>
2. Locatelli F, Niemeyer CM. How I treat juvenile myelomonocytic

- leukemia. *Blood*. 2015 02 12;125(7):1083-1090. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2014-08-550483>
3. Yoshimi A, Kamachi Y, Imai K, Watanabe N, Nakadate H, Kanazawa T, Ozono S, et al. Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome presenting with a clinical picture mimicking juvenile myelomonocytic leukaemia. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*. 2013 05;60(5):836-841. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.24359>
 4. Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian RP, Borowitz MJ, Calvo KR, Kvasnicka H, Wang SA, et al. International Consensus Classification of Myeloid Neoplasms and Acute Leukemias: integrating morphologic, clinical, and genomic data. *Blood*. 2022 09 15;140(11):1200-1228. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2022015850>
 5. Niemeyer CM, Flotho C, Lipka DB, Starý J, Rössig C, Baruchel A, Klingebiel T, et al. Response to upfront azacitidine in juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia in the AZA-JMML-001 trial. *Blood Advances*. 2021 07 27;5(14):2901-2908. <https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2020004144>
 6. Niemeyer CM, Loh ML, Cseh A, Cooper T, Dvorak CC, Chan R, Xicoy B, et al. Criteria for evaluating response and outcome in clinical trials for children with juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia. *Haematologica*. 2015 01;100(1):17-22. <https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2014.109892>
 7. Saha A, Rai V, Kakoty S, Sawhney J, Kourav TPS. A case series of clinical and hematological profile of juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia. *Pediatric Hematology Oncology Journal*. 2022 Dec 01;7(4):142-145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phoj.2022.09.002>
 8. Subramanian KS, Jinkala SR, Kar R, Basu D, Dubashi B. Juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia: A case series of a rare hematological disease. *Indian Journal of Cancer*. 2020;57(1):105-108. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijc.IJC_694_18
 9. Ghariani I, Jmili-Braham N, Haifa R, Achour B, Youssef Y, Sendi H, Bakir L, Kortas M. Leucémie myéломonocytaire juvénile : à propos de trois cas. *Archives de Pédiatrie*. 2016 Oct 01;23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arcped.2016.09.007>
 10. Jeong Min H. Juvenile myelomonocytic leukaemia case study. *Australian Journal of Medical Science*. 2023 Feb 1; 44(1): 27-31.
 11. Lee AC, Leo SW. Juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia: a surprising cause of peri-orbital tumor and squint. *Annals of Hematology*. 2019 05;98(5):1313-1314. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00277-018-3510-7>
 12. Niemeyer CM, Arico M, Basso G, Biondi A, Cantu Rajnoldi A, Creutzig U, Haas O, et al. Chronic myelomonocytic leukemia in childhood: a retrospective analysis of 110 cases. European Working Group on Myelodysplastic Syndromes in Childhood (EWOG-MDS). *Blood*. 1997 05 15;89(10):3534-3543. <https://doi.org/55555>
 13. Loh ML, Sakai DS, Flotho C, Kang M, Fliegauf M, Archambeault S, Mullighan CG, et al. Mutations in CBL occur frequently in juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia. *Blood*. 2009 08 27;114(9):1859-1863. <https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2009-01-198416>
 14. Azma RZ, Zarina AL, Hamidah A, Jamal R, Sharifah NA, Ainoon O, Hamidah NH. Juvenile myelomonocytic leukaemia: a case series. *The Malaysian Journal of Pathology*. 2009 Dec;31(2):121-128. <https://doi.org/5555>



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.