

# High Prevalence of Malnutrition and Associated Factors in Newly Diagnosed Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Patients: A Cross-Sectional Study

Ho Chiou Yi<sup>1</sup>, Ban Zhen Hong<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Dietetics and Food Service, Institut Kanser Negara, 4, Jalan P7, Presint 7, 62250 Wilayah Persekutuan Putrajaya, Ministry of Health, Malaysia. <sup>2</sup>School of Energy and Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University Malaysia, Jalan Sunsuria, Bandar Sunsuria, 43900 Sepang, Selangor, Malaysia.

## Abstract

**Introduction:** Malnutrition is a common and significant complication in patients with upper gastrointestinal cancers (UGIC), negatively impacting treatment outcomes and prognosis. This study aimed to determine the prevalence of malnutrition and its associated factors in newly diagnosed UGIC patients before treatment. **Material and Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted between October 2020 and March 2022, enrolling newly diagnosed UGIC patients. Data collected included sociodemographics, clinical characteristics (cancer type, stage, comorbidities), functional status (ECOG performance status), anthropometrics (weight, height, weight loss in the past month), biochemical parameters (full blood count, albumin), dietary intake (total daily energy and protein), and malnutrition assessment using the Subjective Global Assessment (SGA). **Results:** Out of 409 participants, 92.1% were malnourished. The mean age was  $60.3 \pm 12.5$  years, and the mean weight was  $57.8 \pm 15.1$  kg. Significant weight loss (mean  $-8.2 \pm 6.0\%$ ) was observed. The majority of patients experienced vomiting (88%) and dysphagia (96%), with 51.3% having an ECOG performance status of 2. Multiple linear regression analysis identified several significant factors associated with malnutrition: percentage of weight loss in the past month, serum albumin levels, dyspepsia, dysphagia, lymphocyte count, and male gender. **Conclusion:** This study reveals a high prevalence of malnutrition among newly diagnosed UGIC patients, highlighting the need for early identification and intervention. The identified factors associated with malnutrition provide valuable insights for screening and risk assessment. Early nutrition screening, assessment, and timely interventions are crucial for optimizing nutritional status and improving treatment outcomes in this vulnerable patient population.

**Keywords:** Upper gastrointestinal cancers- prevalence- factors- malnutrition

*Asian Pac J Cancer Care*, 9 (2), 267-275

Submission Date: 10/18/2023

Acceptance Date: 04/01/2024

## Introduction

According to Global Cancer Observatory (GLOBOCAN) 2018, Malaysia's cancer incidence is anticipated to double by 2040 (from 43,837 to 84,158 cases) [1]. In the past 13 years, the incidence rate of stomach cancer in Malaysia has decreased by 48% among males and 31% among females. Malaysia has a low risk of stomach cancer. Although there is evidence that it is decreasing, it remains a major source of mortality and morbidity. Every year, hundreds of Malaysians get

and death from the disease [2]. In the context of Asia, Malaysia has an upper gastrointestinal cancer (UGIC) incidence (8.41 per 100,000) over 5 years, according to GLOBOCAN 2020 [3]. The mortality rate of gastric cancer is 4.0%, and esophageal cancer is 1.3% among the Malaysian population [2].

The most well-established treatment for UGIC, including esophageal and gastric malignancies, is surgery with or without neoadjuvant chemoradiation or

## Corresponding Author:

Ms Ho Chiou Yi

Department of Dietetics and Food Service, Institut Kanser Negara, 4, Jalan P7, Presint 7, 62250 Wilayah Persekutuan Putrajaya, Ministry of Health Malaysia.

Email: agnesncy0326@gmail.com

chemotherapy [4]. Many patients with UGIC need surgical intervention, resulting in higher metabolic demands and causing pre-existing malnutrition [5]. Malnutrition may result in extending energy shortages in the postoperative phase, increased risk of postoperative infectious complications, and a poor clinical outcome [6, 7]. Poor nutritional status, in combination with delayed and insufficient postoperative nutrition intervention, is linked to poor therapeutic outcomes [8]. The impact of the disease and treatment on nutritional status and, ultimately, quality of life is an important issue for individuals suffering from UGIC. These patients are frequently malnourished at diagnosis as a consequence of local tumor effects resulting in symptoms such as dysphagia, vomiting, insufficient nutritional intake, exhaustion, weight loss, and muscle atrophy [5]. Malnutrition and cachexia are related to lower quality of life, poor treatment tolerance, and higher morbidity and death in cancer patients [8, 9]. Numerous shreds of evidence have shown that malnutrition was associated with greater morbidity and mortality, prolonged hospitalization, more frequent readmission, treatment toxicity, increased healthcare costs, and a negative effect on the quality of life [9, 10]. Additionally, preoperative nutritional conditions influence tolerance to surgical stress and postoperative recovery [9, 11].

Nutritional assessment, nutrition therapy, and malnutrition prevention are significantly important for patients with UGIC as well as its consequences for clinical outcomes [8]. Malnutrition in UGIC is due to higher metabolic demands, inadequate food intake, or nutrient loss [12]. The necessity of detecting malnutrition in cancer patients early is critical, and there are various verified methods available to do so. Malnutrition is prevalent among UGIC patients; however, it has not been identified in particular groups of individuals with gastrointestinal cancers [4]. However, there is limited information regarding the nutrition status of UGIC patients in Malaysia. Hence, there is a critical need to raise awareness of the importance of identifying the prevalence of malnutrition and associated factors in newly diagnosed upper gastrointestinal cancer patients before treatment.

## Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Study design

A cross-sectional study was conducted at the Institut Kanser Negara (National Cancer Institute) (IKN), Putrajaya, which is the cancer referral center for the west coast of Malaysia, from October 2020 to March 2022.

### 2.2 Participants

Consented Malaysians aged 18 years and above with diagnosed UGIC were assessed by clinical dietitians during admission for treatment in IKN. Those non-Malaysians, who had a history of oncology or surgical treatment and were not admitted to the ward were excluded from the study. All patients' records of histologically confirmed gastric or esophageal cancers and those seen by dietitians during the study period in IKN were screened, reviewed, and recorded in the study data collection form.

### 2.3 Sample size

The population proportion formula was used to estimate the sample size [13]. Prior data indicate that the proportion of loss of weight was 0.513, and the population size was 1860 [2]. If the Type I error probability and precision are 0.05 and 0.05, we will need to study 319 samples. With an additional 20% dropout rate, the sample size was 399 samples.

### 2.4 Study variables

#### 2.4.1 Socio-demographic and clinical characteristic

Socio-demographic (age, ethnicity, and gender), as well as clinical characteristics (comorbidities, classification of malignant tumors, and diagnosis), were recorded in the data collection form.

#### 2.4.2 Nutrition status

Height, weight, percentage weight loss past 1 month, percentage weight loss in the past 6 months, dietary intake, and biochemical profile were recorded. According to the Gibson 2005 procedure, the scheduled calibrated SECA weight scale was used to measure weight [14]. A formula was used to calculate the Body Mass Index (BMI) [15]. Dietary consumption was measured using a 24-hour dietary recall approach during an entrance face-to-face interview with a clinical dietitian. To assist the subject in measuring the portion size of the items ingested, food models (portion sizes for carbohydrate and protein sources) and domestic measurements such as cups, spoons, and scoops, were used.

#### 2.4.3 Subjective Global Assessment Score (SGA)

The SGA score that was developed by Detsky et al. in 1987 is widely employed, can identify people at nutritional risk, and predicts clinical consequences [16]. This assessment combines medical history and clinical findings and includes symptoms that could have an impact on food intake, functional capacity, and physical examination. There were three rating classifications: stage A for those who had no weight loss or a deficit in nutrition impact symptoms, dietary intake, functioning, and physical examinations; stage B for those who had moderate deficits or showed recent improvement in weight nutrition impact symptoms, dietary intake, functional and physical examinations; and stage C for those who had any severe weight loss, nutritional impact symptoms, dietary intake, function, and physical examinations.

#### 2.4.4 Lymphocytes

A type of immune cell produced in the bone marrow and present in the blood and lymph tissue. Lymphocytes produce antibodies, aid in the destruction of tumor cells, and regulate immunological responses. A lymphocyte is a type of white blood cell [17]. One of the regular procedures during admission was a lymphocyte count (complete blood count) investigation.

#### 2.4.5 Serum albumin

Albumin is required for the appropriate distribution of body fluids between blood arteries and body tissues; without albumin, the high pressure in the blood vessels would drive more fluids out into the tissues. Patients with hypoalbuminemia frequently present as a result of another illness process, such as starvation as a result of severe anorexia nervosa, sepsis, or malignancy [18]. Blood investigation (serum albumin) was one of the routine care during admission.

#### 2.4.6 Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group scale (ECOG)

Loss of muscle mass, insufficient food intake, and depression are all factors that result in poor performance status. The ECOG performance status is used to evaluate oncology patients' independence and physical functioning [19].

#### 2.5 Statistical analyses

Nutritionist Pro food Software version 2.4 (Axxa Systems, VA, USA) was used to analyze the food intake data. The summary of the analysis provided energy (kcal) and protein (grams) intakes. The analysis was carried out employing IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 23.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.). Participants were divided into three groups for this analysis, which were well-nourished (SGA A), moderately malnourished (SGA B), and severely malnourished (SGA C). Descriptive statistics were used to describe participants' characteristics. Continuous data were presented in mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Categorical data were presented in frequency and percentage. Pearson's product-moment correlation for data with a normal distribution was used to get the correlation coefficient between the two variables. A Durbin-Watson statistic indicated residual independence of 2.003; Partial regression plots and a plot of studentized residuals versus projected values were used to assess linearity; and Visual inspection of a plot of studentized residuals vs. unstandardized expected values revealed homoscedasticity. As measured by tolerance values larger than 0.1 but less than 10, no indication of multicollinearity was found. A Q-Q Plot revealed that the normality assumption was met. A multiple linear regression test was applied to identify the factors related to malnutrition in newly diagnosed UGIC patients.

## Results

The study recruited 409 participants, and 92.2% (n=377) were malnourished (SGA B and SGA C). The mean for age, weight, percentage of weight loss past 1-months, total daily energy and protein intake, SGA score, and serum albumin of participants were 60.3 $\pm$ 12.5 years, 57.8 $\pm$ 15.1 kg, -8.2 $\pm$ 6.0%, 17 $\pm$ 5 kcal/kg/day, 0.65 $\pm$ 0.19 g/kg/day, and 35.6 $\pm$ 6.1 g/L respectively (Table 1 and Table 2). About 46% was tumor size (T) 3, 88%, 96%, and 53% experienced vomiting, dysphagia, and dyspepsia, respectively; 68% experienced muscle wasting; 61% tolerated a full liquid diet only.

A multivariate linear regression test found that the

percentage of weight loss past 1-months, serum albumin, dyspepsia, dysphagia, lymphocytes, and gender (male) were the significant variables as the factors associated with malnutrition in newly diagnosed UGIC patients (Table 3). SGA scores were statistically significantly associated with the multiple linear regression model,  $F(6, 402) = 22.441$ ,  $p < 0.000$ , adjusted  $R^2 = 0.24$ . The current factors could explain 24% of the malnutrition risk in UGIC patients. All six (6) factors contributed statistical significance to prediction,  $p < 0.05$ . The predicted equation, SGA score = 12.376 + 0.230 percentage of weight loss past 1-months - 0.163 serum albumin + 1.274 dyspepsia + 3.136 dysphagia - 0.763 lymphocytes + 0.954 gender (male).

## Discussion

Cancer patients are at a high risk of malnutrition, and surgical stress may worsen this catabolic condition. Cancer patients frequently encounter malnutrition, which is caused by both the disease and the therapy, both of which put their nutritional status at risk. Malnutrition caused by the disease will result in notable weight loss, changes in body composition, and impairment in physical function [6, 10]. Cancer patients with gastrointestinal tumors have the highest prevalence of nutritional disorders, reaching as high as 90% [19], and doubtlessly influence tolerance to surgical stress and postoperative recovery [20]. Inadequate daily consumption of food, pre-existing chronic disease, tumor-associated metabolic changes, mental stress, and low socioeconomic position all contribute to pre-operative malnutrition [11, 21]. As a result, preoperative malnutrition increases the risk of postoperative complications and death, as well as hospitalization and overall costs [6].

#### ESPEN practical guideline

Clinical Nutrition in Cancer 2021 stated that cancer-related malnutrition frequently remains unrecognized, underestimated, and under-treated in clinical practice, with an estimated 10 - 20% of cancer patients dying as a result of malnutrition-related complications rather than the tumor itself [22]. However, the systematic review stated only two of three digestive cancer studies addressed dietary status, and the results were often underreported [6, 10]. It is certainly surprising that despite the frequency of malnutrition in the cancer population, nutritional evaluation is not a routine practice [4, 10]. Studies have shown that 50% of malnourished patients are not diagnosed as undernourished or malnourished and thus remain untreated [23].

The current study reported that 92.2% of upper gastrointestinal cancer (UGIC) patients are malnutrition and experience -8.2 $\pm$ 6.0% of weight one month before diagnosis. This is in line with another study that showed that UGIC poses the highest risk to the development of malnutrition, and studies have shown that 85% of patients are severely or moderately malnourished or at risk of malnutrition. This is considered to be an indicator of severe malnutrition (5, 40) and is associated with poor

Table 1. Socio-demographic and Clinical Characteristics of Newly Diagnosed Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Patients

	SGA A (n=32)	SGA B (n=351)	SGA C (n=26)	All (N = 409)	p-value
Age (years)	55.2 ± 12.9	60.9 ± 12.3	58.3 ± 13.7	60.3 ± 12.5	<sup>a</sup> 0.028*
Gender (n, %)					<sup>b</sup> 0.016*
Male	27	249	13	289 (71)	
Female	5	102	13	120 (29)	
Ethnicity (n, %)					<sup>b</sup> 0.489
Malay	18	165	10	193 (47)	
Chinese	11	120	8	139 (34)	
Indian	3	66	8	77 (19)	
Diagnosis (n, %)					<sup>b</sup> 0.001**
Gastric cancer	24	148	10	182 (44)	
Esophageal cancer	8	203	16	227 (56)	
Classification of Malignant Tumors (n, %)					
T					<sup>b</sup> 0.004**
1	0	12	2	14 (4)	
2	13	56	2	71 (17)	
3	14	166	10	190 (46)	
4	5	117	12	134 (33)	
N					<sup>b</sup> 0.098
0	13	83	5	101 (25)	
1	16	175	10	201 (49)	
2	2	72	9	83 (20)	
3	1	21	2	24 (6)	
M					<sup>b</sup> 0.010*
0	31	271	17	319 (78)	
1	1	80	9	90 (22)	
Comorbidity (n, %)					<sup>b</sup> 0.254
Yes	22	179	13	214 (52)	
No	10	172	13	195 (48)	

\*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, \*\*\*p<0.001. <sup>a</sup>One way ANOVA test; Bonferroni test was employed for post hoc analyses. <sup>b</sup>Chi Square test

prognosis [24] and increased treatment side effects [25]. The underweight UGIC patients were associated with worse survival. Preoperatively, overweight or slightly to moderately obese individuals (BMI 23 to 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) had a higher overall survival rate [25].

To date, there is no single “gold standard” or clinically ideal evaluation approach to assess nutritional status with UGIC [19]. The American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition (ASPEN) and the European Care Research Collaborative recognize that determining a patient’s nutrition status is unable to be accomplished with a single measurement [19]. The nutritional status of the present study is evaluated by combining several parameters that include unintentional weight loss, BMI, SGA score, nutritional intake, and functional status using the ECOG scale. The present study showed that the mean BMI is 21.7kg/m<sup>2</sup> which is under the normal BMI category, but 92.2% are under-malnourished, 61% impaired functional status (ECOG scale 2-3), and average daily energy intake is less than 25 kcal/kg/day. Studies stated that when used as a sole nutrition assessment

tool, BMI alone is ineffective at detecting changes in nutritional status [10, 26]. Another study concluded that using BMI alone to define nutritional risk may not be reliable because cancer patients may be underweight or overweight at the time of diagnosis. Nevertheless, cancer-related cachexia and sarcopenia are very common in both groups. Overweight individuals who lose weight are not considered at risk, and sarcopenic patients’ assessments may be biased [23, 27]. A combination of weight loss with the assessment of nutritional intake, BMI, and inflammatory status was then recommended. Another recent systematic review found that BMI and the SGA were the most commonly used assessment instruments in cancer patients [10, 20].

The SGA score that was developed by Detsky et al. in 1987 is widely employed, can recognize malnourished individuals, and forecasts therapeutic results [16]. This assessment combines medical history and clinical findings and includes symptoms that may affect food intake, functional capacity, and physical examination [23]. The current study showed the majority

Table 2. Nutritional Assessments, Functional Status, and Nutrition Care Plan Newly Diagnosed Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Patients

Variable	SGAA (n=32)	SGA B (n=351)	SGA C (n=26)	All (N = 409)	p-value
<b>Anthropometry</b>					
Weight (kg)	67.2 ± 17.0	58.2 ± 14.4	41.4 ± 7.2	57.8 ± 15.1	<sup>a</sup> <0.001***
Height (m)	1.65 ± 0.05	1.63 ± 0.09	1.57 ± 0.10	1.63 ± 0.09	<sup>a</sup> 0.003**
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.5 ± 5.5	21.9 ± 4.9	16.7 ± 2.5	21.7 ± 5.0	<sup>a</sup> <0.001***
Percentage of weight loss within 1 month (%)	-5.0 ± 4.7	-8.1 ± 5.8	-13.5 ± 5.9	-8.2 ± 6.0	<sup>a</sup> <0.001***
Percentage of weight loss within 6-months (%)	-11.5 ± 10.8	-18.7 ± 8.4	-29.5 ± 9.4	-18.8 ± 9.3	<sup>a</sup> <0.001***
<b>Muscle Wasting (n, %)</b>					
Present	7	244	26	277 (68)	<sup>b</sup> <0.001
Absent	25	107	0	132 (32)	
<b>Fat Wasting (n, %)</b>					
Present	7	240	26	273 (67)	<sup>b</sup> <0.001
Absent	25	111	0	136 (33)	
<b>Biochemical data</b>					
Serum Albumin (g/L)	40.1 ± 4.3	35.4 ± 6.0	32.9 ± 6.0	35.6 ± 6.1	<sup>a</sup> <0.001***
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	12.0 ± 2.2	10.9 ± 2.4	10.9 ± 2.3	11.1 ± 2.4	0.06
Lymphocytes (10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	1.9 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 0.7	1.3 0.6	1.7 ± 0.7	<sup>a</sup> 0.003**
Platelet (10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	312.3 ± 92.9	338.4 ± 134.1	347.7 ± 149.8	336.9 ± 132.4	<sup>a</sup> 0.517
<b>Diet Texture toleration (n, %)</b>					
Soft diet	5	143	13	161 (39)	<sup>b</sup> 0.005**
Full liquid diet	27	208	13	247 (61)	
<b>Dietary Intake</b>					
Energy intake (kcal/kg/day)	21 ± 4	17 ± 6	16 ± 6	17 ± 6	<sup>a</sup> <0.001***
Protein intake (g/kg/day)	0.76 ± 0.14	0.67 ± 0.19	0.64 ± 0.18	0.65 ± 0.19	<sup>a</sup> 0.003**
<b>Gastrointestinal symptoms (n, %)</b>					
<b>Vomit</b>					
Yes	20	314	25	359 (88)	<sup>b</sup> <0.001***
No	12	37	1	50 (12)	
<b>Dysphagia</b>					
Yes	27	341	26	394 (96)	<sup>b</sup> 0.001**
No	5	10	0	15 (4)	
<b>Dyspepsia</b>					
Yes	9	230	24	263 (64)	<sup>b</sup> 0.004**
No	23	121	2	146 (36)	
<b>Functional Status (n, %)</b>					
<b>ECOG</b>					
0	6	50	1	57 (14)	<sup>b</sup> 0.008**
1	4	88	11	103 (25)	
2	21	180	8	209 (51)	
3	1	32	6	39 (10)	
<b>Nutrition care plan (n, %)</b>					
high protein high calories diet + ONS	9	50	0	59 (14)	<sup>b</sup> 0.015*
Full Enteral Feeding	23	264	20	307 (75)	
Parenteral Nutrition	0	35	6	41 (10)	

Abbreviation: ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001; <sup>a</sup>One way ANOVA test; Bonferroni test was employed for post hoc analyses; <sup>b</sup>Chi Square test

(92.2%) of UGIC patients are malnourished or at risk of malnourishment. Previous studies reported that SGA, the nutrition assessment tool, identified about 43.5% of cancer patients [28] and 57.2% of gynecologic cancer [10] were malnourished upon admission. A comprehensive dietary intake, including current intake, dietary intake history, and any recent changes, should be obtained in combination with the SGA. The present study concluded that daily energy intake is less than 25 kcal/kg/day. Being malnourished in UGIC cancer is caused by a reduction in dietary intake as a consequence of mechanical obstruction and cachexia, both of which occur as cancer progresses [29]. Insufficient nutritional intake occurs when patients find it difficult to eat for a week or if their dietary intake falls below 60% of their estimated requirements. Anorexia has been identified as a risk contributor to malnutrition, and changes in appetite may happen irrespective of the patient's baseline weight [7]. Cancer patients state cluster symptoms such as inadequate nutrition, weight loss, diminishing physical performance, exhaustion, pain, and depression. In turn, individuals with advanced disease have a lower quality of life and less likelihood of survival [18]. It is well known that cancer cachexia leads to significant weight loss due to loss of muscle mass and decreased fat mass [30]. Unintentional weight loss needs to be detected and recognized as it reduces physical function, survival outcomes, and quality of life [23].

Our study showed that about 64%, 88%, and 96% experienced dyspepsia, vomiting and dysphasia, respectively. UGIC patients develop common gastrointestinal symptoms such as vomiting, dysphagia, and dyspepsia in early and advanced stages. Other common symptoms reported by patients were weight loss, hematemesis/ melaena, poor appetite, fatigue, early satiety/fullness, and belching [31]. Some patients experienced more than one symptom. It is noteworthy that GI symptoms such as nausea, mucositis, constipation, diarrhea, and early satiety may alter food choices and can decrease dietary intake in patients with UGIC [19]. About 61% of UGIC patients in the current study

experienced impaired functional status (ECOG scale 2-3). Poor functional status may relate to loss of muscle mass, inadequate food intake, and depression. In oncology patients, the ECOG functional status is used to assess independence and physical functioning [19]. Low serum albumin is shown as one of the determinants in the current predictive model of malnutrition. Serum albumin levels before treatment have the potential for prediction in cancer. Preoperative serum albumin is a useful tool for determining malnutrition as well as forecasting patient outcomes and survival. When accompanied by malnutrition, detection of hypoalbuminemia and early treatments may reduce complication rates [32].

Our findings support the gender disparity found in our sample and might indicate that it is easier to diagnose malnutrition in men since they might demonstrate a more consistent, less variable "classic" view of malnutrition [33]. According to past studies, patients with esophageal cancer are more likely to be malnourished than patients with stomach cancer. Due to inadequate nutrient intake or absorption, patients with esophageal cancer frequently experience severe weight loss and are more likely to become malnourished, which can have measurable negative implications on body weight, body composition, function, and clinical outcomes [34]. There are 80% of patients who experienced more than 16% unintentional weight loss at the time of diagnosis [34]. The loss of weight can be attributed to decreased food intake in conjunction with dysphagia, which is a typical clinical symptom at the moment of diagnosis [6]. Systemic inflammation caused by tumor factors causes a higher expenditure of energy and changed macronutrient metabolism, which leads to loss of weight along with specific skeletal muscle atrophy [35]. The incidence of malnutrition in males was significantly higher than that in females. This could be caused by financial difficulties in obtaining proper health care. The exact gender difference is unknown. However, this could be attributable to immunologic variations and dietary habits [36].

Preoperative immunological and nutritional health are

Table 3. Association between Independent Variables and SGA Score and Factors Associated with Malnutrition (SGA score) in Newly Diagnosed Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer Patients (N = 409)

Variables	Univariate analysis			Multivariate analysis		
	Beta	95% CI	p-value	Beta	95% CI	p-value
Constant				12.376		
Percentage of weight loss within 1-months (%)	0.305	0.231 – 0.380	<0.001***	0.23	0.158 – 0.303	<0.001***
Serum Albumin (g/L)	-0.228	-0.139	<0.001***	-0.163	-0.133	<0.001***
Dysphagia (present)	5.117	2.819 – 7.0414	<0.001***	3.136	1.042 – 5.231	0.003**
Lymphocytes (10 <sup>9</sup> /L)	-1.259	-1.231	<0.001***	-0.763	-1.13	0.008**
Dyspepsia (present)	1.321	0.445 – 2.197	0.003**	1.274	0.498 – 2.051	0.001**
Gender (male)	1.02	0.055 – 1.986	0.038*	0.954	0.106 – 1.803	0.028*
Daily Energy Intake (kcal/kg/day)	-0.123	-0.156	0.002**			
Diagnosed with Esophageal Cancer	0.907	0.022 – 1.792	0.045*			
Hemoglobin (g/L)	-0.42	-0.366	<0.001***			
Tumor size (T)	0.869	0.319 – 1.419	0.002**			

Abbreviation: ECOG: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001; Stepwise Multiple Linear Regression. R = 0.501; R<sup>2</sup> = 0.251; adjusted R<sup>2</sup> = 0.240; F = 22.441, p = 0.000

substantially correlated with postoperative morbidities as well as the overall survival of cancer patients, according to a growing body of studies. The most often utilized markers to assess nutritional and immune status were the serum albumin concentration and lymphocyte count, and these results were used to establish additional measures for various malignancies [17]. Albumin concentrations are correlated with alterations in nutritional status and can be used to identify impending nutritional deficiencies. Additionally, lymphocytes could be used to assess immune-nutritional health [37]. Malnourished cancer patients exhibited lower levels of serum albumin and lymphocytes, according to research. Malnutrition was more prevalent in advanced cancer stages (79%). It was congruent with research done in Kenya, which found that malnutrition was found in 66.9% of the population. This disparity may be attributed to the participants' economic situation as much as the quality of therapy. Malnutrition was significantly related to the cancer stage. This could be driven by insufficient medical attention, malnutrition associated with cancer, or the pathophysiology of cancer cells, which necessitate a high-calorie diet in advanced stages [38].

European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) in cancer patients highlighted the significance of early screening and proactive monitoring of dietary intake, nutrition-related complaints, muscle mass, physical performance, and systemic inflammation [4]. When a cancer patient is diagnosed as being at risk of malnutrition, a comprehensive nutritional assessment must be administered by a dietitian. A nutritional status assessment is required for a diagnosis of nutritional compromise, and multidisciplinary care is then required [10, 11]. Because of the adverse effects on morbidity and mortality, there is a critical need to increase consciousness among healthcare professionals regarding the significance of timely screening and identifying individuals at nutritional risk, which could result in favorable results. Nutritional assessment is a vital component of the preoperative assessment of surgical cancer patients [21]. A detailed nutrition assessment entails determining the individual cause (s) of malnutrition and addressing challenges to desirable food intake [39]. Potentially curative cancer candidates must complete this stage of nutritional therapy since intervention before surgery can improve nutritional status and postoperative outcomes [5].

#### *Strength and limitation*

The wide inclusion criteria of UGIC patients before treatment, as well as the comprehensive assessment of nutritional status, were a strength of this study. We address a critical clinical issue in addition to highlighting a clinical management gap. UGIC patients are commonly overlooked for treatments that require nutrition deficit restoration before the treatment's initial stage. There are a few limitations. First of all, the current study only includes a single nutritional assessment and evaluation. Second, as the aim was to investigate malnutrition, the study was set up to include only UGIC patients instead of those who had been diagnosed with other types of cancer.

In conclusion, malnutrition in individuals with cancer has a wide range of implications, including a decrease in response and sensitivity to therapy, decreased quality of life, lower survival, and increased care expenditures. As this type of cancer has a significant impact on the digestive system, UGIC patients are especially susceptible to malnutrition. Malnutrition in cancer patients highlights the importance of nutrition screening and assessment before, during, and post-treatment. The currently proposed factors associated with UGIC-related malnutrition can assist in identifying malnutrition. Hence, the early multidisciplinary-team approach-based nutrition intervention and nutrition-related symptom management could be implemented to minimize nutrition depletion, improve functional status, and enhance clinical outcomes before therapy or even higher survival rates.

#### **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank the nursing staff of the surgical ward, dietitians and Head of Unit Dietetic, Norshariza Jamhuri in Institut Kanser Negara, Putrajaya, Malaysia, as well as Nur Anis Alifah Akran Supri, Amira Madihah Rasli, Hayatun Syamilah Mohd Nasir and Fatihah Rusnan for their support in this study. The authors would like to thank the Director General of Health Malaysia for the permission to publish this paper.

#### *Funding*

The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

#### *Conflict of Interests*

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

#### *Authors Contribution*

CYH conceptualized, designed, and completed the data collection, and interpretation of the study. BZH analyzed the data. CYH was involved in the execution of the study. CYH wrote, reviewed, and edited the manuscript. CYH finalized the manuscript. The first draft of the manuscript was written by CYH and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### *Data Availability*

The datasets generated during the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

#### *Ethics Approval*

This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The Malaysian Ministry of Health's Medical Research and Ethics Committee (MREC) sought ethical approval for this study, which was filed in the National Medical Research Registry (NMRR) with research ID: NMRR ID-22-00792-HKU. The study's registered ID under the ClinicalTrials.gov protocol registration and results system is NCT05867810.

### Consent to participate

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

### References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*. 2018 Nov;68(6):394-424. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21492>
- Azizah A, Hashimah B, Siti Zubaidah A, Puteri N, Nabihah A, Sukumaran R, et al. Malaysian National Cancer Registry Report (MNCR) 2012-2016. 2019.
- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, Bray F. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians*. 2021 05;71(3):209-249. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660>
- Muscaritoli M, Arends J, Bachmann P, Baracos V, Barthelemy N, Bertz H, Bozzetti F, et al. ESPEN practical guideline: Clinical Nutrition in cancer. *Clinical Nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2021 05;40(5):2898-2913. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2021.02.005>
- Filip B, Buna Arvinte M, Hutanu I, Scripcariu D, Radu I, Scripcariu V. Evaluation of Preoperative Nutritional Status in Gastric Cancer Patients. *Jurnalul de Chirurgie*. 2016 01 01;12. <https://doi.org/10.7438/1584-9341-12-3-4>
- Deftereos I, Yeung JMC, Arslan J, Carter VM, Isenring E, Kiss N. Assessment of Nutritional Status and Nutrition Impact Symptoms in Patients Undergoing Resection for Upper Gastrointestinal Cancer: Results from the Multi-Centre NOURISH Point Prevalence Study. *Nutrients*. 2021 09 24;13(10):3349. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13103349>
- Ho CY, Ibrahim Z, Abu Zaid Z, Mat Daud ZA, Mohd Yusop NB, Mohd Abas MN, Omar J. Postoperative Dietary Intake Achievement: A Secondary Analysis of a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Nutrients*. 2022 01 05;14(1):222. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu14010222>
- Deftereos I, Kiss N, Isenring E, Carter VM, Yeung JM. A systematic review of the effect of preoperative nutrition support on nutritional status and treatment outcomes in upper gastrointestinal cancer resection. *European Journal of Surgical Oncology: The Journal of the European Society of Surgical Oncology and the British Association of Surgical Oncology*. 2020 08;46(8):1423-1434. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2020.04.008>
- Deftereos I, Hitch D, Butzkueven S, Carter V, Arslan J, Fetterplace K, Fox K, et al. Implementation of a standardised perioperative nutrition care pathway in upper gastrointestinal cancer surgery: A multisite pilot study. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics: The Official Journal of the British Dietetic Association*. 2023 04;36(2):479-492. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.13018>
- Ho CY, Ibrahim Z, Abu Zaid Z, Mat Daud Z', Md Yusop NB. Clinical malnutrition predictive model among gynecologic cancer patients prior to elective operation: A cross-sectional study. *Clinical Nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2021 06;40(6):4373-4379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2021.01.008>
- Ho CY, Majid HA, Jamhuri N, Ahmad AF, Selvarajoo TA. Lower ileostomy output among patients with postoperative colorectal cancer after being supplemented with partially hydrolyzed guar gum: Outcome of a pilot study. *Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif.)*. 2022;103-104:111758. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2022.111758>
- Chen M, Wu I, Chen Y, Wang T, Chang Y, Yang C, Huang W, et al. Nutrition therapy in esophageal cancer-Consensus statement of the Gastroenterological Society of Taiwan. *Diseases of the Esophagus: Official Journal of the International Society for Diseases of the Esophagus*. 2018 08 01;31(8). <https://doi.org/10.1093/dote/doy016>
- Lemeshow S, Hosmer DW, Klar J, Lwanga SK, Organization WH. Adequacy of sample size in health studies: Chichester: Wiley; 1990.
- Gibson RS. Principles of nutritional assessment: Oxford university press, USA; 2005.
- Committee WHOE. Physical status : the use of and interpretation of anthropometry , report of a WHO expert committee. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1995.
- Detsky AS, McLaughlin JR, Baker JP, Johnston N, Whittaker S, Mendelson RA, Jeejeebhoy KN. What is subjective global assessment of nutritional status?. *JPEN. Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition*. 1987;11(1):8-13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014860718701100108>
- Omman RA, Kini AR. Leukocyte development, kinetics, and functions. Keohane EM, Otto CM, Walenga JM, Rodak's Hematology: Clinical Principles and Applications 6th edn Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier. 2019:117-35.
- Arends J, Bachmann P, Baracos V, Barthelemy N, Bertz H, Bozzetti F, Fearon K, et al. ESPEN guidelines on nutrition in cancer patients. *Clinical Nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2017 02;36(1):11-48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2016.07.015>
- Cushen SJ, Power DG, Ryan AM. (PDF) Nutrition Assessment in Oncology. ResearchGate.<https://doi.org/10.1097/TIN.0000000000000023>
- Inciong JFB, Chaudhary A, Hsu H, Joshi R, Seo J, Trung LV, Ungpinitpong W, Usman N. Hospital malnutrition in northeast and southeast Asia: A systematic literature review. *Clinical nutrition ESPEN*. 2020 Oct;39:30-45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2020.06.001>
- Lobo DN, Gianotti L, Adiamah A, Barazzoni R, Deutz NEP, Dhatriya K, Greenhaff PL, et al. Perioperative nutrition: Recommendations from the ESPEN expert group. *Clinical Nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 2020 Nov;39(11):3211-3227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2020.03.038>
- Muscaritoli M, Lucia S, Farcomeni A, Lorusso V, Saracino V, Barone C, Plastino F, et al. Prevalence of malnutrition in patients at first medical oncology visit: the PreMiO study. *Oncotarget*. 2017 Oct 03;8(45):79884-79896. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.20168>
- Reber E, Schönenberger KA, Vasiloglou MF, Stanga Z. Nutritional Risk Screening in Cancer Patients: The First Step Toward Better Clinical Outcome. *Frontiers in Nutrition*. 2021;8:603936. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.603936>
- Cui J, Liang H, Deng J, Ding X, Pan Y, Wang X, Wang B, Wu L, Jiang N. The impact of preoperative weight loss for gastric cancer patients after gastrectomy. *Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi [Chinese Journal of Surgery]*. 2014 06;52(6):409-414.
- O'Donoghue N, Shrotriya S, Aktas A, Hullihen B, Ayvaz S, Estfan B, Walsh D. Clinical significance of weight changes at diagnosis in solid tumours. *Supportive Care in Cancer: Official Journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer*. 2019 07;27(7):2725-2733. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-018-4551-0>
- Ravasco P, Monteiro-Grillo I, Vidal PM, Camilo ME. Nutritional deterioration in cancer: the role of disease and diet. *Clinical Oncology (Royal College of Radiologists (Great Britain))*. 2003 Dec;15(8):443-450. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0936-6555\(03\)00155-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0936-6555(03)00155-9)



27. Ho C, Samwil SNM, Kahairudin Z, Jamhuri N, Abd Aziz A. Exercise and pre-habilitation with high whey-protein-based meal replacement therapy promote weight loss and preserve muscle mass before bariatric surgery. *Asian Journal of Surgery*. 2023 09;46(9):3716-3721. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asjsur.2023.03.026>
28. Jamhuri N, Zaidah M, Zaharah A, Sharina M, Neoh M, Aeininhayatey A, Hafizah M. Prevalence of malnutrition among hospitalised adult cancer patients at the National Cancer Institute, Putrajaya, Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Nutrition*. 2017 01 01;23:161-174.
29. Kubota T, Shoda K, Konishi H, Okamoto K, Otsuji E. Nutrition update in gastric cancer surgery. *Annals of Gastroenterological Surgery*. 2020 06 08;4(4):360-368. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ags3.12351>
30. Ohnuma T, Adigun R. *Cancer, anorexia and cachexia*. StatPearls Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing StatPearls Publishing LLC. 2018.
31. Schcolnik-Cabrera A, Chávez-Blanco A, Domínguez-Gómez G, Dueñas-González A. Understanding tumor anabolism and patient catabolism in cancer-associated cachexia. *American Journal of Cancer Research*. 2017;7(5):1107-1135.
32. Hu W, Cajas-Monson LC, Eisenstein S, Parry L, Cosman B, Ramamoorthy S. Preoperative malnutrition assessments as predictors of postoperative mortality and morbidity in colorectal cancer: an analysis of ACS-NSQIP. *Nutrition Journal*. 2015 09 07;14:91. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-015-0081-5>
33. Gillis C, Richer L, Fenton TR, Gramlich L, Keller H, Culos-Reed SN, Sajobi TT, Awasthi R, Carli F. Colorectal cancer patients with malnutrition suffer poor physical and mental health before surgery. *Surgery*. 2021 09;170(3):841-847. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2021.04.003>
34. Anandavadivelan P, Lagergren P. Cachexia in patients with oesophageal cancer. *Nature Reviews. Clinical Oncology*. 2016 03;13(3):185-198. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2015.200>
35. Fearon K, Strasser F, Anker SD, Bosaeus I, Bruera E, Fainsinger RL, Jatoi A, et al. Definition and classification of cancer cachexia: an international consensus. *The Lancet. Oncology*. 2011 05;12(5):489-495. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(10\)70218-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70218-7)
36. Gebremedhin TK, Cherie A, Tolera BD, Atinafu BT, Demelew TM. Prevalence and risk factors of malnutrition among adult cancer patients receiving chemotherapy treatment in cancer center, Ethiopia: cross-sectional study. *Heliyon*. 2021 06;7(6):e07362. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07362>
37. Lin J, Lin J, Cao L, Zheng C, Li P, Xie J, Wang J, et al. Preoperative lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio as a strong predictor of survival and recurrence for gastric cancer after radical-intent surgery. *Oncotarget*. 2017 Oct 03;8(45):79234-79247. <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.17058>
38. Pereira AAC, Zaia RD, Souza GHG, Luizeti BO, Andreola R, Junior AOV, Ferrari A. The Correlation between Hand Grip Strength and Nutritional Variables in Ambulatory Cancer Patients. *Nutrition and Cancer*. 2021;73(2):221-229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2020.1750662>
39. Wischmeyer PE, Carli F, Evans DC, Guilbert S, Kozar R, Pryor A, Thiele RH, et al. American Society for Enhanced Recovery and Perioperative Quality Initiative Joint Consensus Statement on Nutrition Screening and Therapy Within a Surgical Enhanced Recovery Pathway. *Anesthesia and Analgesia*. 2018 06;126(6):1883-1895. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000002743>



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.