

# Coping Strategies Practiced by Breast Cancer Survivors in Duhok City, Iraq

Eman Ahmed Ali, Harith Khalid Al-Qazaz

Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq.

## Abstract

**Background:** In 2022, 8,626 new breast cancer (BC) cases were reported in Iraq, accounting for 40% of all newly diagnosed cancers. While some women learn to adapt well, others continue to struggle with the impact of the disease. Thus, we aimed to investigate the coping strategies among breast cancer survivors (BCSs) and examine their association with sociodemographic and clinical characteristics. **Material and Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted among 319 BCSs attending Azadi Hematology-Oncology Center in Duhok City, Iraq, from December 2024 to March 2025. Data on sociodemographic and clinical characteristics were collected using a structured questionnaire, and coping strategies were assessed using the Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced Inventory (Brief-COPE) questionnaire. Because the data were not normally distributed, non-parametric tests (Kruskal–Wallis and Mann–Whitney U) were used. **Results:** The mean age of participants was 48 years. 92.8% of the BC cases were HR-positive, and 34.5% were HER2-positive. The mean Brief-COPE score was  $32.19 \pm 4.23$ . Emotion-focused coping had the highest mean score and was significantly associated only with current BC therapy ( $p < 0.01$ ). Problem-focused coping came next, and was significantly associated with time since diagnosis, family monthly income, comorbidities and family history of BC (all  $p < 0.01$ ), as well as with menopausal status ( $p = 0.01$ ), age and BC stage at diagnosis ( $p = 0.04$ ). Lastly, avoidant coping was significantly associated with age, menopausal status, and current BC therapy (all  $p < 0.01$ ), as well as time since diagnosis ( $p = 0.01$ ) and employment status ( $p = 0.02$ ). **Conclusion:** Emotion-focused coping was the predominant coping style employed by breast cancer survivors in Duhok City, Iraq. Statistically significant associations were identified between specific coping styles and various sociodemographic and clinical characteristics, where individual differences, cultural context, and available social support systems shape survivors' adaptation. These findings highlight the need for holistic BCSs care that addresses both medical and psychosocial needs.

**Keywords:** Breast Cancer Survivors- Coping Strategies- Brief-COPE- Iraq

*Asian Pac J Cancer Care*, 10 (3), 765-773

Submission Date: 07/04/2025

Acceptance Date: 08/12/2025

## Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common cancer among women worldwide [1] and accounted for 40% of all newly diagnosed cancer cases in Iraq in 2022 [2]. Although survival rates have improved in high-income countries, the incidence and mortality of BC continues to rise in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where it remains a leading cause of cancer-related deaths among women [3-5].

In Iraq, BC is frequently diagnosed at a relatively advanced stages, which contributes to poorer outcomes and presents unique challenges for affected women

[6]. Diagnosis and treatment of BC can be profoundly distressing [7], and although some women persevere through this difficult period and learn to adapt over time, others continue to struggle [8-10]. Each breast cancer survivor (BCS) has a unique capacity to cope, often by employing a range of strategies to manage the stress associated with this disease [11].

Coping is defined as the emotional, behavioral, and cognitive responses that individuals use to manage stressful situations [12]. According to the Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced Inventory (Brief-COPE) [13, 14],

## Corresponding Author:

Dr. Eman Ahmed Ali

Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq.

Email: eman.23php41@student.uomosul.edu.iq

coping strategies can be categorized into three styles: (1) Problem-focused coping style, where behavior is directed toward solving the problem or situation via active coping, positive reframing, and the use of informational support [15, 16]. (2) Emotion-focused coping style, which attempts to manage the emotional impact of a situation, by seeking emotional or religious support, and/or through acceptance of the situation and even self-blame [15, 17]. (3) Avoidant coping style, where behavior is directed toward escaping from the situation, through behavioral disengagement, denial, and self-distraction [15]. The selection of coping style is influenced by individual characteristics including personality type, prior experiences and perceived support, as well as temporal factors such as disease progression and treatment course [18]. Although BCSs commonly employ various coping strategies, those in the Middle East, including Iraq, often face obstacles that hinder their ability to cope effectively, such as cultural beliefs, cancer-related stigma, limited access to healthcare, and economic hardships [19, 20].

Research focusing on BCSs in Iraq is crucial for enhancing global understanding of cancer survival in low- and middle-income countries, where healthcare resources are frequently limited and cultural understandings of BC remains poor. The present study aimed to investigate coping strategies employed by BCSs, and examine their association with sociodemographic and clinical characteristics.

## Materials and Methods

### *Study design and setting*

A cross-sectional study was conducted at Azadi Hematology-Oncology Center in Duhok City, Kurdistan Region of Iraq, from December 2024 until the beginning of March 2025, after obtaining ethical approval from the Research Ethics Committee at the Duhok Directorate General of Health. The authors obtained verbal informed consent from all 319 BCSs who participated in the study.

### *Participants*

Inclusion criteria: BCSs who have completed primary BC treatment (surgery, chemotherapy, and/or radiotherapy), BCSs on hormonal therapy (HR+ve), adjuvant targeted therapy (HER2+ve) or both.

Exclusion criteria: active BC patients receiving chemotherapy, radiotherapy or immediately post-operation were excluded from the study, as well as BC patients with comorbid psychiatric conditions, and recurrent or metastatic BC.

### *Data collection tools*

Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics

Sociodemographic data were obtained from BCSs using a structured questionnaire, and clinical characteristics were obtained from the cancer database at Azadi Hematology-Oncology Center, Duhok City.

### *Brief-COPE questionnaire*

A translated version of the Brief-COPE questionnaire in the Kurdish language was used for the assessment of coping styles utilized by BCSs in Duhok city, Kurdistan region of Iraq, where the primary language is Kurdish. This assessment tool has been widely utilized in the medical setting, particularly among cancer patients [13]. The original Brief-COPE questionnaire consists of 28 items covering three different coping styles, and is designed to assess how individuals respond to and manage stressful situations [14]. In this study, 10 items related to the three coping styles in the Brief-COPE were assessed. Specifically, emotion-focused coping style involved 4 items (seeking emotional support, returning to religion, acceptance, and self-blame), problem-focused coping style involved 3 items (active coping, positive reframing, and use of informational support), and avoidant coping style involved 3 items (behavioral disengagement, denial and self-distraction). An external item related to the social media was also included under avoidant coping, since social media has a high impact on an individual's ability to cope and manage stressful situations by providing an escape from reality. Items were rated according to a 4-point Likert scale, where (1) indicates "I haven't been doing this at all", (2) indicates "a little bit", (3) indicates "a medium amount" and (4) indicates "I've been doing this a lot". Thus, all items have a normal scoring pattern ranging from 1 to 4 [14]. The Brief-COPE questionnaire was translated using a forward and backward translation process to ensure linguistic accuracy [21], and the final version was reviewed and validated by medical experts to establish face-content validity [22].

### *Statistical analysis*

Descriptive and inferential statistics were used to analyze the data. Calculations were carried out using the Package for Social Science (SPSS) program, version 27. Frequencies, percentages, means, SDs and medians, were used to describe the study population. Because the data was not normally distributed, non-parametric (Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U) tests were used to check for the significance of associations ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) between different survivors' characteristics and coping styles used by BCSs based on the Brief-COPE scale. Post-hoc Bonferroni correction by repeated Mann-Whitney U tests was performed when overall significance was found, to check for the significance of in-between-groups.

## Results

### *Descriptive of the survivors' sociodemographic data*

Of the 319 BCSs participating, the mean age was  $48.18 \pm 9.43$  years, with the majority between 40-59 years. A total of 81.8% were married, and 62.7% lived in urban areas. Nearly half (49.5%) of the participants had no formal education, and 83.7% were unemployed. Regarding work hours, 33.9% worked less than 3 hours/day and 25.4% worked more than 6 hours/day. In terms of income, 49.2% reported a medium family monthly income, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of Breast Cancer Survivors and Their Association with Brief-COPE Scores

Survivors' socio-demographics	N	%	Mean $\pm$ SD (Median)	P-value
Age *				
Less than 40 y.	46	14.4	32.96 $\pm$ 3.77 (33.00)	<0.001
40 - 59 y.	234	73.4	32.49 $\pm$ 4.13 (32.50)	
60 y. and above	39	12.2	29.51 $\pm$ 4.48 (29.00)	
Marital status *				
Single	44	13.8	32.57 $\pm$ 4.83 (33.50)	0.66
Married	261	81.8	32.10 $\pm$ 4.13 (32.00)	
Divorced	4	1.3	34.25 $\pm$ 4.34 (35.50)	
Widow	10	3.1	32.10 $\pm$ 4.50 (33.00)	
Religion *				
Muslim	284	89	32.24 $\pm$ 4.24 (32.00)	0.9
Christian	13	4.1	32.23 $\pm$ 4.10 (32.00)	
Yazidi	22	6.9	31.50 $\pm$ 4.40 (32.50)	
Residence **				
Urban	200	62.7	32.43 $\pm$ 4.37 (32.50)	0.15
Rural	119	37.3	31.80 $\pm$ 3.98 (32.00)	
Educational level *				
No formal education	158	49.5	31.91 $\pm$ 4.93 (32.00)	0.24
Primary	63	19.7	32.32 $\pm$ 3.68 (32.00)	
Secondary	39	12.2	31.79 $\pm$ 3.13 (31.00)	
University	59	18.5	33.08 $\pm$ 3.25 (33.00)	
Employment status *				
Employee	46	14.4	33.35 $\pm$ 2.93 (33.00)	0.03
Unemployed	267	83.7	32.04 $\pm$ 4.38 (32.00)	
Retired	6	1.9	30.17 $\pm$ 4.83 (29.50)	
Job types *				
Housewives	273	85.6	32.00 $\pm$ 4.39 (32.00)	0.05
Office work	17	5.3	32.82 $\pm$ 2.55 (32.00)	
Non-office work	29	9.1	33.66 $\pm$ 3.14 (34.00)	
Current work hours (hrs./day) *				
Not at all	48	15	31.25 $\pm$ 5.26 (32.00)	0.58
< 3 hrs./d.	108	33.9	32.19 $\pm$ 4.33 (32.00)	
3-6 hrs./d.	82	25.7	32.84 $\pm$ 4.24 (33.00)	
>6 hrs./d.	81	25.4	32.09 $\pm$ 3.29 (32.00)	
Number of children *				
Single	48	15	32.77 $\pm$ 4.71 (33.50)	0.1
0-3	102	32	32.82 $\pm$ 4.01 (32.50)	
4-8	146	45.8	31.77 $\pm$ 4.19 (32.00)	
9-13	23	7.2	30.87 $\pm$ 4.07 (32.00)	
Menopausal status *				
Premenopausal	10	3.1	34.40 $\pm$ 3.77 (33.00)	<0.001
Primary menopause	58	18.2	30.14 $\pm$ 4.06 (29.00)	
Secondary menopause	251	78.7	32.58 $\pm$ 4.15 (33.00)	
Family monthly income *				
Low (< 500,000 ID)	99	31	32.65 $\pm$ 4.46 (33.00)	0.01
Medium (500,000-1 million ID)	157	49.2	32.43 $\pm$ 4.25 (33.00)	
High (>1 million ID)	63	19.7	30.89 $\pm$ 3.57 (30.00)	
Comorbidities *				
None	184	57.7	32.76 $\pm$ 4.14 (33.00)	0.01
One comorbidity	81	25.4	31.80 $\pm$ 4.25 (32.00)	
Two and more comorbidity	54	16.9	30.85 $\pm$ 4.24 (31.00)	

\*Kruskal–Wallis test, \*\*Mann–Whitney test, significant  $p \leq 0.05$

*Descriptive of the survivors' clinical characteristics*

The clinical characteristics of BCSs are shown in Table 2. As can be seen, most participants were diagnosed with unilateral BC. 37.3% had Stage 2 BC, 33.2% had Stage 3, and 60.2% were within 10–40 months post-diagnosis. Combined chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and surgery were the most common treatment modality (69.9%). 92.8% had HR-positive BC, and 34.5% had HER2-positive BC. While 33.9% of participants reported a family history of BC.

*Coping styles used by BCSs as measured by the Brief-COPE scale*

Brief-COPE scores ranged from 18 to 43, with a mean score of  $(32.19 \pm 4.23)$ . Among the different coping styles, emotion-focused coping was the most commonly utilized, with scores ranging from 10 to 16 and a mean of

$(13.71 \pm 1.29)$ . Problem-focused coping ranked second with a mean score of  $(9.86 \pm 2.01)$ , and a range of 3 to 12. In contrast, avoidant coping style had the lowest mean score of  $(8.61 \pm 2.61)$ , with a minimum of 4 and a maximum of 15.

*Association between Brief-COPE scores and sociodemographic data among BCSs*

In Table 1, significant associations between sociodemographic factors and Brief-COPE scores are shown. Age and menopausal status were significantly associated with Brief-COPE scores (both  $p < 0.001$ ). Employment status showed a significant association with Brief-COPE scores with a p-value of (0.03). Family monthly income was significantly related to coping ( $p = 0.01$ ). However, survivors with higher incomes reported lowest coping scores. Additionally, comorbidity

Table 2. Clinical Characteristics of Breast Cancer Survivors and Their Association with Brief-COPE Score

Survivors' clinical characteristics	N	%	Mean $\pm$ SD (Median)	P-value
Laterality*				
Right	155	48.6	31.95 $\pm$ 4.29 (32.00)	0.09
Left	157	49.2	32.25 $\pm$ 4.09 (33.00)	
Bilateral	7	2.2	36.14 $\pm$ 4.91 (40.00)	
BC stage *				
Unknown	57	17.9	31.89 $\pm$ 4.20 (32.00)	0.04
Stage 1	37	11.6	31.62 $\pm$ 4.15 (32.00)	
Stage 2	119	37.3	31.67 $\pm$ 4.77 (31.00)	
Stage 3	106	33.2	33.13 $\pm$ 3.48 (33.00)	
Time since diagnosis (months) *				
10-40 m.	192	60.2	32.28 $\pm$ 4.19 (32.00)	<0.01
41-70 m.	67	21	30.88 $\pm$ 3.71 (30.00)	
>70 m.	60	18.8	33.37 $\pm$ 4.59 (33.00)	
Management modalities received *				
None of chemo/ Radio/ Surgery	3	0.9	30.33 $\pm$ 4.93 (28.00)	0.18
Chemo/ radiation	2	0.6	36.50 $\pm$ 2.12 (36.50)	
Chemo/ surgery	45	14.1	31.84 $\pm$ 4.19 (32.00)	
Surgery/ radiation	26	8.2	33.50 $\pm$ 4.59 (33.50)	
Chemo/ radiation/ surgery	223	69.9	32.26 $\pm$ 4.15 (32.00)	
Chemo alone	8	2.5	30.75 $\pm$ 5.60 (31.00)	
Surgery alone	12	3.8	30.17 $\pm$ 3.51 (30.00)	
Surgery type *				
No	13	4.1	31.54 $\pm$ 5.25 (32.00)	0.27
Mastectomy	144	45.1	31.83 $\pm$ 4.40 (32.00)	
Breast conserving surgery	162	50.8	32.56 $\pm$ 3.98 (33.00)	
Current cancer therapy *				
Hormonal therapy with tamoxifen	55	17.2	32.60 $\pm$ 3.85 (33.00)	<0.01
Hormonal therapy with goserelin and others	53	16.6	30.34 $\pm$ 4.25 (29.00)	
Mixed hormonal therapy	145	45.5	32.77 $\pm$ 3.79 (32.00)	
Targeted therapy	28	8.8	32.39 $\pm$ 5.63 (33.00)	
Targeted therapy + Hormonal therapy	38	11.9	31.82 $\pm$ 4.63 (33.00)	
Family history of BC *				
Yes	108	33.9	32.54 $\pm$ 4.39 (32.00)	0.01
No	178	55.8	32.37 $\pm$ 4.12 (33.00)	
Unknown	33	10.3	30.12 $\pm$ 3.84 (30.00)	

Table 2 Continued.

Survivors' clinical characteristics	N	%	Mean± SD (Median)	P-value
HR-status **				
Positive	296	92.8	32.22 ± 4.11 (32.00)	0.88
Negative	23	7.2	31.87 ± 5.69 (32.00)	
HER-2 status **				
Positive	113	35.4	31.93 ± 4.58 (32.00)	0.51
Negative	206	64.6	32.33 ± 4.04 (32.00)	

\*Kruskal–Wallis test, \*\*Mann–Whitney test, significant  $p \leq 0.05$ , (HR)-hormonal receptor status, (HER-2)-human epidermal growth factor receptor-2.

status had p-value of (0.01), where survivors without comorbidities had higher coping scores than other groups.

#### *Association between Brief-COPE scores and clinical factors among BCSs*

As shown in Table 2, variations in Brief-COPE scores were significantly associated with BC clinical factors. BC stage was found to be significantly associated with coping ( $p = 0.04$ ), where BCSs diagnosed at stage 3 reported the highest mean Brief-COPE scores. Time since diagnosis showed a significant association with coping ( $p < 0.01$ ), where individuals diagnosed more than 70 months ago had the highest Brief-COPE scores. Current BC therapy was significantly associated with coping ( $p < 0.01$ ), with participants receiving mixed hormonal therapies reporting the highest Brief-COPE scores. A significant association was also identified between family history of BC and Brief-COPE scores ( $p = 0.01$ ), where survivors with a known family history reported the highest scores.

#### *Differences in coping styles scores based on survivor's sociodemographic and clinical characteristics*

Significant differences in coping style scores were identified across many variables, as shown in Table 3. Problem-focused coping was significantly associated with time since diagnosis, family monthly income, comorbidities and a family history of BC (all  $p < 0.01$ ), as well as with menopausal status ( $p = 0.01$ ), age and BC stage at diagnosis ( $p = 0.04$ ). Avoidant coping style was significantly associated with age, menopausal status, and current BC therapy (all  $p < 0.01$ ), as well as time since diagnosis ( $p = 0.01$ ) and employment status ( $p = 0.02$ ). In contrast, emotion-focused coping scores did not differ significantly across most of the examined variables, with exception of current BC therapy ( $p < 0.01$ ).

## Discussion

As a result of early diagnosis and effective therapeutic management, women with a history of BC constitute the largest group of cancer survivors in developed nations [19]. Therefore, we investigated the coping styles used by BCSs from Duhok City, Kurdistan Region of Iraq during this challenging period, and examine their association with sociodemographic and clinical characteristics.

Surprisingly, based on clinical data collected during the study, HER2-positive BC cases were found to exceed 35%, which is notably higher than the globally reported

range of 15–20% [23]. A similar trend was observed in Saudi Arabia, where a previous study [24] reported that 29.9% of BC cases were HER2-positive BC. In contrast, a study [25] conducted in Jordan reported a lower proportion (23.8%) of HER2-positive BC. These variations suggest potential regional differences that may be influenced by genetic, environmental, or healthcare-related factors.

The study revealed a significant association between age and different coping style scores, where younger BCSs reported use of both problem and avoidant-focused coping styles more than older BCSs. These findings are in contrast with published results from Ghana [26], where, based on Brief-COPE questionnaire responses from 202 participants, age was not significantly associated with problem-focused coping; however, older age was associated with less frequent use of avoidant coping. Another study [27] of 489 young survivors ( $\leq 45$  years) conducted using the Brief-COPE showed greater use of problem-focused coping styles. This difference may be attributed to stressors such as career disruption, family responsibilities, body image fears, and worries about fertility. In contrast, older survivors might view illness as an expected part of aging, potentially reducing the intensity of their coping responses.

Employment status was significantly associated with practicing avoidant coping styles, where employed survivors reported the highest avoidant coping scores than other groups. This aligns with a previous study showing that practice of avoidant and problem-focused coping styles were more frequently associated with employed than unemployed BCSs [26], this may be due to the fact that employed individuals often have greater access to social support networks than unemployed individuals, which are crucial for effective coping and emotional resilience.

Menopausal status was also significantly associated with different coping styles, with premenopausal BCSs reporting greater use of both problem and avoidant coping styles compared to postmenopausal BC survivors. This is consistent with a previous study [28], which reported that premenopausal women practice different coping styles, like active coping and avoidance, compared to their postmenopausal counterparts, who often face deeper psychological challenges that hinder their coping responses.

Interestingly, BCSs with lower family monthly income had higher Brief-COPE scores, and relied more on problem-focused coping styles than the higher-



Table 3. Differences in Coping Styles Scores Based on Survivor's Sociodemographic and Clinical Characteristics

Survivors' characteristics	Problem focused coping style		Emotion focused coping style		Avoidant coping style	
	Mean $\pm$ SD (Median)	P-value	Mean $\pm$ SD (Median)	P-value	Mean $\pm$ SD (Median)	P-value
<b>Age groups</b>						
Less than 40 y.	9.97 $\pm$ 1.80 (10.00)	0.04 *	13.63 $\pm$ 1.23 (13.00)	0.2	9.34 $\pm$ 2.53 (9.00) <sup>ab</sup>	<0.001*
40 - 59 y.	9.96 $\pm$ 1.99 (10.00) <sup>c</sup>		13.77 $\pm$ 1.32 (13.00)		8.74 $\pm$ 2.55 (9.00)	
60 y. and above	9.19 $\pm$ 2.28 (9.00)		13.46 $\pm$ 1.16 (13.00)		6.94 $\pm$ 2.48 (6.00)	
<b>Employment status</b>						
Employee	10.21 $\pm$ 2.03 (11.00)	0.25	13.80 $\pm$ 1.10 (13.50)	0.62	9.32 $\pm$ 2.03 (9.50) <sup>ab</sup>	0.02*
Unemployed	9.80 $\pm$ 2.02 (10.00)		13.70 $\pm$ 1.33 (13.00)		8.52 $\pm$ 2.68 (8.00)	
Retired	9.66 $\pm$ 1.75 (9.50)		13.50 $\pm$ 1.22 (13.00)		7.00 $\pm$ 2.19 (7.00)	
<b>Menopausal status</b>						
Premenopausal	11.10 $\pm$ 0.99 (11.00) <sup>a</sup>	0.01*	13.80 $\pm$ 1.54 (13.00)	0.23	9.50 $\pm$ 2.50 (10.00) <sup>a</sup>	<0.001*
Primary menopause	9.48 $\pm$ 1.85 (9.00) <sup>c</sup>		13.44 $\pm$ 1.09 (13.00)		7.20 $\pm$ 2.44 (7.00) <sup>c</sup>	
Secondary menopause	9.90 $\pm$ 2.06 (10.00)		13.77 $\pm$ 1.32 (13.00)		8.90 $\pm$ 2.55 (9.00)	
<b>Family monthly income</b>						
Low (< 500,000 ID)	8.83 $\pm$ 2.73 (8.00) <sup>b</sup>	<0.01*	13.85 $\pm$ 1.48 (13.00)	0.25	9.94 $\pm$ 2.10 (10.00)	0.29
Medium (500,000-1 million ID)	8.66 $\pm$ 2.71 (8.00) <sup>c</sup>		13.70 $\pm$ 1.28 (13.00)		10.06 $\pm$ 1.97 (10.00)	
High (>1 million ID)	8.14 $\pm$ 2.08 (8.00)		13.52 $\pm$ 0.96 (13.00)		9.22 $\pm$ 1.87 (9.00)	
<b>Comorbidities</b>						
None	10.14 $\pm$ 1.90 (10.00) <sup>ab</sup>	<0.01*	13.78 $\pm$ 1.38 (13.00)	0.46	8.83 $\pm$ 2.57 (9.00)	0.14
One comorbidity	9.70 $\pm$ 1.81 (10.00)		13.65 $\pm$ 1.14 (13.00)		8.44 $\pm$ 2.74 (8.00)	
Two and more comorbidity	9.14 $\pm$ 2.46 (9.50)		13.57 $\pm$ 1.19 (13.00)		8.12 $\pm$ 2.49 (8.00)	
<b>BC stage</b>						
Unknown	9.94 $\pm$ 1.90 (10.00)	0.04*	13.66 $\pm$ 1.13 (13.00)	0.9	8.28 $\pm$ 2.38 (8.00)	0.1
Stage 1	9.97 $\pm$ 1.53 (10.00)		13.56 $\pm$ 0.83 (13.00)		8.08 $\pm$ 3.04 (8.00)	
Stage 2	9.43 $\pm$ 2.27 (10.00) <sup>d</sup>		13.69 $\pm$ 1.48 (13.00)		8.53 $\pm$ 2.66 (8.00)	
Stage 3	10.25 $\pm$ 1.84 (11.00)		13.81 $\pm$ 1.29 (13.00)		9.06 $\pm$ 2.48 (8.50)	
<b>Time since diagnosis (months)</b>						
10-40 m.	9.89 $\pm$ 2.11 (10.00) <sup>a</sup>	<0.01*	13.72 $\pm$ 1.36 (13.00)	0.84	8.66 $\pm$ 2.65 (8.00) <sup>a</sup>	0.01*
41-70 m.	9.34 $\pm$ 1.84 (9.00) <sup>c</sup>		13.62 $\pm$ 1.21 (13.00)		7.91 $\pm$ 2.16 (7.00)	
>70 m.	10.33 $\pm$ 1.76 (11.00)		13.78 $\pm$ 1.18 (13.00)		9.25 $\pm$ 2.78 (9.00) <sup>c</sup>	
<b>Current BC therapy</b>						
Hormonal therapy (tamoxifen)	10.00 $\pm$ 1.83 (10.00)	0.26	14.00 $\pm$ 1.17 (14.00) <sup>ac</sup>	<0.01*	8.60 $\pm$ 2.46 (8.00) <sup>a</sup>	<0.01*
Hormonal therapy (goserelin and others)	9.49 $\pm$ 1.91 (9.00)		13.39 $\pm$ 0.94 (13.00) <sup>c</sup>		7.45 $\pm$ 2.64 (7.00) <sup>cf</sup>	
Mixed hormonal therapy	9.93 $\pm$ 2.00 (10.00)		13.82 $\pm$ 1.27 (13.00) <sup>h</sup>		9.01 $\pm$ 2.51 (9.00)	
Targeted therapy	10.17 $\pm$ 2.37 (11.00)		13.96 $\pm$ 1.68 (13.50) <sup>i</sup>		8.25 $\pm$ 3.02 (8.00)	
Targeted & Hormonal therapy	9.65 $\pm$ 2.19 (10.00)		13.15 $\pm$ 1.46 (13.00)		9.00 $\pm$ 2.42 (8.50)	
<b>Family history of BC</b>						
Yes	9.97 $\pm$ 1.87 (10.00) <sup>b</sup>	<0.01*	13.80 $\pm$ 1.27 (13.00)	0.47	8.75 $\pm$ 2.82 (8.00)	0.46
No	10.03 $\pm$ 1.92 (10.00) <sup>c</sup>		13.70 $\pm$ 1.33 (13.00)		8.62 $\pm$ 2.55 (8.00)	
Unknown	8.54 $\pm$ 2.52 (9.00)		13.48 $\pm$ 1.17 (13.00)		8.09 $\pm$ 2.18 (8.00)	

Kruskal–Wallis test performed for whole variables, \*significant  $p \leq 0.05$ , [Bonferroni correction by repeated Mann Whitney test was performed for only those variables showed significant association, where <sup>a</sup> (significant difference between group 1 and 2), <sup>b</sup> (significant difference between group 1 and 3), <sup>c</sup> (significant difference between group 2 and 3), <sup>d</sup> (significant difference between group 3 and 4), <sup>e</sup> (significant difference between group 1 and 5), <sup>f</sup> (significant difference between group 2 and 5), <sup>h</sup> (significant difference between group 3 and 5), <sup>i</sup> (significant difference between group 4 and 5)].

income groups. This is in agreement with another study [29], on a larger sample, which assessed coping based on annual household income, where low-income BCSs relied on problem-focused coping and sought help for their concerns, despite facing challenges in obtaining assistance.

BC survivors without comorbidities tended to rely more on problem-focused coping styles, since they

reported the highest coping score for this category compared to other groups. In contrast with a previous study [30], which found that survivors with comorbidities were more likely to employ maladaptive coping strategies, such as helplessness and hopelessness.

BC stage at diagnosis was significantly associated with coping styles. Where, survivors diagnosed at stage 3 predominantly practiced problem-focused coping styles

more than those at other stages. Conversely, a study [31] conducted on a large population of black and white US women showed that survivors with early-stage BC at diagnosis were associated with more avoidant coping style practice, highlighting the variability of coping strategies across different populations.

Time since diagnosis was another important variable associated with coping styles. Long-term survivors diagnosed over 70 months ago reported the highest problem and avoidant-focused coping scores compared to short-term survivors diagnosed between 10 and 40 months ago. In contrast, a study of predominantly long-term BC survivors who had not received treatment in the last month showed lower coping scores over all coping styles compared to short-term survivors [32].

Emotion-focused coping styles were significantly associated only with the type of current BC therapy. BC survivors receiving hormonal therapy (Tamoxifen) reported higher emotion-focused coping scores, followed by avoidant coping scores. This result is in line with a study conducted in Sweden [33], where survivors with endocrine therapy often relied on “acceptance” as an emotional coping style and, to some extent, “thinking of something else” as an avoidant coping style.

Although a family history of BC was associated with differences in coping style preferences, this relation has not been extensively investigated in previous studies. This study indicates that problem-focused coping styles were practiced more by survivors without a family history of BC, suggesting that survivors with a family history of BC may carry emotional burdens from seeing relatives’ hardships that could reduce their use of active coping strategies.

#### *Strength*

This study is the first to examine coping styles among breast cancer survivors in Duhok City, Kurdistan Region of Iraq, and thus offers novel insights into a previously unexplored aspect of BC survival in this region.

#### *Limitations*

The study has several limitations. First, the cross-sectional design of the study makes it impossible to determine the causality of the different coping styles practiced by BCSs. Nevertheless, this design was appropriate for the primary aim of the study. Second, the study was conducted only in Duhok City, which may limit the generalizability of results to other regions or cultural settings within Iraq.

#### *Implications*

The predominance of emotion-focused coping styles among BCSs in this study emphasizes the importance of addressing psychological and social needs in addition to medical treatment. Physicians should recognize that effective BC management extends beyond pharmacological treatment and standard clinical interventions to include rehabilitation and palliative care, ensuring a holistic approach that supports both physical and psychosocial well-being.

#### *Future research*

Building on these findings, future research should employ longitudinal designs to assess changes in coping styles over different survivorship periods, explore causal relationships with psychosocial and clinical outcomes, and assess the effectiveness of culturally adapted interventions. Expanding future research to include additional regions of Iraq, as well as inclusion of a larger, more diverse sample will enhance generalizability and provide a more comprehensive understanding of coping strategies practiced by BCSs.

In conclusion, Emotion-focused coping was the predominant coping style employed by breast cancer survivors in Duhok City, Iraq. Statistically significant associations were identified between specific coping styles and various sociodemographic and clinical characteristics, where individual differences, cultural context, and available social support systems shape survivors’ adaptation. These findings highlight the need for holistic BCSs care that addresses both medical and psychosocial needs.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank Dr. Rezvan Faisal Abduljabbar (Consultant Medical Oncologist at Azadi Hematology-Oncology Center) and all BC survivors who participated. Without their participation this study could not have been completed, and we appreciate all those who have contributed to this study directly or indirectly.

#### *Funding*

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### *Conflict of interest*

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References**

1. Fazilat-Panah D, Vakili Ahrari Roudi S, Keramati A, Fanipakdel A, Sadeghian MH, Homaei Shandiz F, ShahidSales S, Javadinia SA. Changes in Cytokeratin 18 during Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy of Breast Cancer: A Prospective Study. *Iranian Journal of Pathology*. 2020 04 01;15(2):117-126. <https://doi.org/10.30699/ijp.2020.116238.2261>
2. Bray F, Laversanne M, Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*. 2024 05;74(3):229-263. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21834>
3. Alwan NA. Breast Cancer Among Iraqi Women: Preliminary Findings From a Regional Comparative Breast Cancer Research Project. *Journal of Global Oncology*. 2016 Oct;2(5):255-258. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JGO.2015.003087>
4. Homaei Shandiz F, Fanipakdel A, Forghani MN, Javadinia SA, Mousapour Shahi E, Keramati A, Fazilat-Panah D, Babaei MM. Clinical Efficacy and Side Effects of IORT as Tumor

- Bed Boost During Breast-Conserving Surgery in Breast Cancer Patients Following Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy. *Indian Journal of Gynecologic Oncology*. 2020 06;18(2):46. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40944-020-00389-5>
5. Sedighi Pashaki A, Sheida F, Moaddab Shoar L, Hashem T, Fazilat-Panah D, Nemati Motehaver A, Ghanbari Motlagh A, et al. A Randomized, Controlled, Parallel-Group, Trial on the Long-term Effects of Melatonin on Fatigue Associated With Breast Cancer and Its Adjuvant Treatments. *Integrative Cancer Therapies*. 2023 01;22:15347354231168624. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15347354231168624>
  6. Alwan N, Shawkat MM. Treatment Options and Follow-Up among Iraqi Patients with Breast Carcinoma. *European Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*. 2020 03 24;2(2). <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejmed.2020.2.2.171>
  7. Keramati A, Javadinia SA, Gholamhosseinian H, Fanipakdel A, Homaei Shandiz F, Taghizadeh-Hesary F. A Review of Intraoperative Radiotherapy After Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Patients with Locally Advanced Breast Cancer: From Bench to Bedside. *Indian Journal of Gynecologic Oncology*. 2020 Dec;18(4):110. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40944-020-00465-w>
  8. Rojas K, Stuckey A. Breast Cancer Epidemiology and Risk Factors. *Clinical Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2016 Dec;59(4):651-672. <https://doi.org/10.1097/GRF.0000000000000239>
  9. Álvarez-Pardo S, De Paz JA, Romero-Pérez EM, Tánori-Tapia JM, Rendón-Delcid PA, González-Bernal JJ, Fernández-Solana J, et al. Related Factors with Depression and Anxiety in Mastectomized Women Breast Cancer Survivors. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2023 02 07;20(4):2881. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20042881>
  10. Bloom JR, Stewart SL, Chang S, Banks PJ. Then and now: quality of life of young breast cancer survivors. *Psycho-Oncology*. 2004 03;13(3):147-160. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.794>
  11. Sharma D, Dutta M, Kaur S, Yadav BS, Kumar K, Dahiya D. Coping Strategies being Practiced by the Breast Cancer Survivors before Receiving First Cycle of Chemotherapy. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Care*. 2021 05 14;6(2):167-173. <https://doi.org/10.31557/apjcc.2021.6.2.167-173>
  12. Geyer S, Koch-Giesselmann H, Noeres D. Coping with breast cancer and relapse: Stability of coping and long-term outcomes in an observational study over 10 years. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2015 06;135:92-98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2015.04.027>
  13. Carver CS. You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: consider the brief COPE. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 1997;4(1):92-100. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327558ijbm0401_6)
  14. NovoPsych. Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced Inventory (Brief-COPE). NovoPsych. Available at: <https://novopsych.com.au/assessments/formulation/brief-cope/> [Accessed 19 Feb 2025].
  15. Carver CS, Scheier MF, Weintraub JK. Assessing coping strategies: a theoretically based approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1989 02;56(2):267-283. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.56.2.267>
  16. Al-Azri M, Al-Awisi H, Al-Moundhri M. Coping with a diagnosis of breast cancer-literature review and implications for developing countries. *The Breast Journal*. 2009;15(6):615-622. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-4741.2009.00812.x>
  17. Lazarus RS. Stress and emotion: a new synthesis. New York: Springer Publishing Company; 2006..
  18. Stojadinović N, Mihajlović G, Spasić M, Mladenović M, Hinić D. The Coping Styles and Perception of Illness in Patients with Breast Cancer—Relation to Body Image and Type of Surgery. *Psycho-Oncologie*. 2024;18(3):159-168. <https://doi.org/10.32604/po.2024.050122>
  19. Ośmiałowska E, Misiąg W, Chabowski M, Jankowska-Polańska B. Coping Strategies, Pain, and Quality of Life in Patients with Breast Cancer. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2021 09 28;10(19):4469. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10194469>
  20. Mehrabizadeh M, Zaremohzzabieh Z, Zarean M, Ahrari S, Ahmadi A. Narratives of resilience: Understanding Iranian breast cancer survivors through health belief model and stress-coping theory for enhanced interventions. *BMC Women's Health*. 2024 Oct 08;24(1):552. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-024-03383-7>
  21. Tsang S, Royse C, Terkawi A. Guidelines for developing, translating, and validating a questionnaire in perioperative and pain medicine. *Saudi Journal of Anaesthesia*. 2017;11(5):80. [https://doi.org/10.4103/sja.SJA\\_203\\_17](https://doi.org/10.4103/sja.SJA_203_17)
  22. Taherdoost H. Validity and reliability of the research instrument; how to test the validation of a questionnaire/survey in a research. *International Journal of Academic Research in Management (IJARM)*. 2016;5. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3205040>
  23. López-García MÁ, Carretero-Barrio I, Pérez-Mies B, Chiva M, Castilla C, Vieites B, Palacios J. Low Prevalence of HER2-Positive Breast Carcinomas among Screening Detected Breast Cancers. *Cancers*. 2020 06 15;12(6):1578. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12061578>
  24. Zekri J, Saadeddin A, Alharbi H. Frequency and clinical characteristics of HER2 over-expressed breast cancer in Saudi Arabia: a retrospective study. *BMC Women's Health*. 2021 Dec;21(1):10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-020-01159-3>
  25. Alsughayer AM, Dabbagh TZ, Abdel-Razeq RH, Al-Jussani GN, Alhassoon S, Sughayer MA. Changing Trends in Estrogen Receptors/Progesterone Receptors/Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 Prevalence Rates Among Jordanian Patients With Breast Cancer Over the Years. *JCO Global Oncology*. 2022 05;(8):e2100359. <https://doi.org/10.1200/GO.21.00359>
  26. Boatemaa Benson R, Cobbald B, Opoku Boamah E, Akuoko CP, Boateng D. Challenges, Coping Strategies, and Social Support among Breast Cancer Patients in Ghana. *Advances in Public Health*. 2020 02 25;2020:1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4817932>
  27. Maners A, Champion VL. Coping and Quality of Life Outcomes in Younger Breast Cancer Survivors. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*. 2011 Dec;33(8):1106-1107. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945911413673>
  28. Lai H, Hung C, Chen C, Shih M, Huang C. Resilience and coping styles as predictors of health outcomes in breast cancer patients: A structural equation modelling analysis. *European Journal of Cancer Care*. 2020 01;29(1):e13161. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecc.13161>
  29. Nicoll I, Lockwood G, Longo CJ, Loisel CG, Fitch MI. Relationships between Canadian adult cancer survivors' annual household income and emotional/practical concerns, help-seeking and unmet needs. *Health & Social Care in the Community*. 2022 07;30(4). <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.13536>
  30. Schou-Bredal I, Ekeberg Ø, Kåresen R. Variability and stability of coping styles among breast cancer survivors: A prospective study. *Psycho-Oncology*. 2021 03;30(3):369-377. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.5587>
  31. Reynolds P. Use of Coping Strategies and Breast Cancer Survival: Results from the Black/White Cancer Survival Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2000 Nov



- 15;152(10):940-949. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/152.10.940>
32. Zucca AC, Boyes AW, Lecathelinais C, Girgis A. Life is precious and I'm making the best of it: coping strategies of long-term cancer survivors. *Psycho-Oncology*. 2010 Dec;19(12):1268-1276. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pon.1686>
33. Ahlstedt Karlsson S, Wallengren C, Olofsson Bagge R, Henoch I. Women's coping strategies during the first three months of adjuvant endocrine therapy for breast cancer. *Nursing Open*. 2020 03;7(2):605-612. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.430>



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.