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Abstract

Introduction: Policy decisions on containment of commercial activity to control the spread of COVID-19 SARS may influence urban air quality. Methodology: A methodological approach has been proposed to explore the relationship that exists between the policy decisions on six criteria pollutants (PM₁₀, PM₂.₅, SO₂, Ozone, NO₂, and CO). This study also explores the knowledge /association that exists between the climatic variables, criteria pollutants, inhalation exposure dose levels and its vulnerable population age group and gender. The methodology explores a mixed urban cluster, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, INDIA. Results: From the results, PM₁₀, PM₂.₅, SO₂ and CO has reduced to about 31%, 42%, 26% and 29% during phase-I, whereas NO₂ (66%) and Ozone (14%) has increased. It is inferred that the reduced criteria pollutant load (during the phase I, II, and III) gets dispersed taking the advantage of geographical location. Conclusion: It is also observed that gender has an influence on the inhalation dose due to variability in inflow rate.
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Introduction

Pandemic situation has influenced decision makers to formulate policy on contaminant scenarios that may control the spread of COVID-19. The most common containment decision had been lockdown for a known duration with variability of 7 days to 6 months (in certain cases, the single-span extending up to 1 year). This containment decision on urban, and industrial activities may have its footprints on the emission scenarios. The lockdown may have a direct relationship with the criteria pollutant levels in the atmosphere. This demands the research community to explore the influence of containment decisions on a mixed urban cluster (semi-arid zone) in comparison with the pre-lockdown air quality concentration. Further, this variability in criteria pollutants may influence the microclimatic conditions based on the geographical location.

India is facing serious challenges with the deteriorating air quality in metropolitan cities. Air pollution is the fifth most deadly risk factor globally. It has been recognized that breathing in polluted air increases an individual’s chance of developing heart disease (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease), chronic respiratory diseases, lung infections, and cancer [1]. Until recently, the health effects of Particulate Matter have received prominent attention from the scientific community. US EPA has categorized air pollution into six criteria pollutants viz., Particulate Matter (PM), Ozone, CO, SOx, and NOx based on the sources of emission and the toxicity of the pollutant causing health effects.

In the past decades, due to rapid urbanization and industrialization there has been a plethora number of research works and studies in India. The principal emission of criteria pollutants viz PM₁₀, PM₂.₅, NO₂, SO₂, and Ozone is from industries [2,3], vehicular traffic [4-6], domestic fuel burning [7], natural sources (sea salt & soil dust) and unspecified sources of human-induced pollution [8]. The emissions are due to organic and inorganic gaseous PM due to the combustion of fossil fuels (Coal, Petrol, diesel), lubricants, resuspension of mineral dust particles, oil combustion, and unidentified combustion
sources from industries [9,10].

On the other hand, COVID-19, SARS (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2) caused widespread of the virus from the human-to-human transmission, increased contagion, morbidity, and mortality rates, due to which WHO declared COVID-19 as a global pandemic on March 11, 2020. Since the outbreak of the pandemic, billions of people’s lives have been affected also millions of deaths worldwide. Many nations enforced strict lockdown. Numerous studies were carried out in and around the world as shown in Table 1.

In India, the first complete lockdown has been started on March 24th, 2020 - Janata Curfew, which extended till May 31st, 2020. During this period strict restrictions for people movement, transportation sector, industrial activities, domestic and international flights, workplaces, and day-to-day activities. States and Union territories under the Government of India’s jurisdiction followed the policy decision of lockdown. The above policy decision has a strong footprint on the air pollution emission scenarios.

Several studies have been carried out in India during the COVID-19 pandemic. Reduction in CO2 at Kolkata and West Bengal to about 30-40% has been observed [24]. It is inferred that PM10 reduction of about 52%, NO2 (51%) and CO (28%) in major metropolitan cities viz Delhi, Mumbai, Chennai, Kolkata and Bangalore [17]. Delhi has a major decline in the concentration of the criteria pollutants viz PM10, PM2.5, CO, NO2 during the lockdown in comparison before lockdown [18]. Reduction in PM2.5 has been observed in Delhi (53%), Chennai (39%), Kolkata (36%), Hyderabad (54%) during the lockdown in comparison with the previous four years [15]. The relationship between environmental and demographic variables has been established with COVID-19 cases and air quality [24]. Similarly, the relationship between infected people and environmental, demographic, and geographic factors has influenced the spread of novel COVID-19 [25]. From the above, it is observed that PM1.5, PM10 and population density has a positive association with mortality and morbidity. Further, the spread duration of COVID-19 has a high correlation with population density and absolute humidity, whereas the decay duration of COVID-19 is highly correlated with the population density, absolute humidity, and maximum temperature [26]. In addition, the relationship between atmospheric and environmental factors has been statistically established with the number of infected people [27]. In general reduction in anthropogenic activities due to COVID-19 has positive impacts (air quality, water quality, noise intensity, municipal waste generation, and improved forest ecosystem) and negative impacts (increased ozone levels, biomedical, plastic, and supply chain wastes, poaching of wildlife and deforestation and illegal extraction of resources) on environmental components [28]. Similarly, a longer period of lockdown has a negative impact on economic growth [29].

Most of the above-mentioned research works focus on metropolitan cities considering the spread of urban population settlement, and the probability of emission sources. However, in the case of a developing country like INDIA, geography and population migration supports the transformation of small urban clusters into future major urban dwelling units. One such transforming mixed (urban and industrial) cluster is Coimbatore (a city in the administration of Tamilnadu state, INDIA), where this study is conducted to understand the impact of policy decisions made during pandemic on the urban air quality. Coimbatore comprises more than 25,000 industries in the city this includes (small, medium, and large textile, electro-plating, and foundries). The total number of registered vehicles in Coimbatore city is about 2.05 million. Urban agglomeration has a strong influence on the increasing PM levels in the city. The existing and prevailing weather pattern is not in the general notion with particular dilution and dispersion. The above studies have proved that temperature, wind speed, and wind direction have a positive correlation with pollution (PM2.5) concentration in the city vicinity [30]. Respirable Suspended Particulate Matter (RSPM) has a significant positive correlation with the sources emitted from urban and industrial areas [31]. The air sampling carried at Small Industries Development Corporation (SIDCO), Lakshmi mills, Kuniyamthur, Kavundampalayam, and 100 feet has PM2.5 concentration between 27.85 and 165.75 µg/m3 that is exceeding Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) standards in most of the sampling locations. It is inferred that in the urban and suburban areas of Coimbatore city the RSPM is exceeding the CPCB standards [30]. This may be due to Haphazard urbanization and unprecedented vehicular growth. The above demands more robust and vigorous studies related to air quality are required for the developing urban region. The above research findings highlight that the air quality is getting polluted which may be due to urban activities / industrial / traffic emission scenarios.

From the above studies, it is inferred that the containment decisions have influenced the air quality pollutant levels. This research is structured to address major research gaps, i) the extend of contaminant decision on criteria pollutant levels (PM10, PM2.5, SO2, Ozone, NO2, and CO) in a mixed urban cluster (a cluster that is in the transformation phase of the metropolitan city), ii) the influence on contaminant decision on the microclimatic condition (Temperature, Relative Humidity, and Rainfall), and iii) the influence on inhalation exposure dose with respect to population age group, and gender.

The rest of this research is organized to explicitly showcase the methodology/framework that is been used to explore the database of the chosen criteria pollutants and the climatic database. To visualize the mixed urban cluster, the database on Coimbatore, Tamilnadu, India, is summarized. The proposed methodology is allowed to explore the database, and the recovered relationship/knowledge is discussed. A conclusion illustrates the summary of the research, with major inferences and probable further research that may be explored.
Materials and Methods

A methodological framework is proposed to address the intended research gaps with three major components, a) Database analysis to understand geographical location and mixed urban cluster, b) Data pre-processing and analysis of criteria pollutants, c) Data pre-processing and analysis of Climatic variables, and d) Inhalation exposure dose assessment.

Geographical Location & Mixed Urban Cluster

Coimbatore (11.0168°N, 76.9558°E.) (Figure 1) is located on the west corner of the south Indian state of Tamil Nadu, surrounded by the Western Ghats on all sides. The average elevation of Coimbatore above the MSL is ~398 m. The geographic position of the city, in the immediate east of the pass, of the breach of Palghat, through the Western Ghats offers direct access to West Coast traders has fostered its commercial prosperity.

The city has an overall population of ~1.05 million with a population density of about 601 persons per square kilometer. It is the Manchester of South India with the second-highest population in Tamil Nadu. The total number of registered vehicles in Coimbatore city is about 2.05 million. Figure 2, represents the land use and land cover map of Coimbatore city. The industrial sector of the district accounts for approximately 7.84% of the land in the urban city of Coimbatore. Coimbatore’s industries produce a variety of engineering products ranging from small plastic items to major textile machines.

Contaminant Decisions

Figure 3, summarizes the contaminant decisions that are taken and implemented by the decision-makers to control the spread of COVID-19. From Figure 3, it may be observed that during phase I of lockdown complete restrictions for all the activities (urban, and industrial) including restrictions for peoples’ movement. During phase II of lockdown, commercial outlets (shops) were allowed to open with a constraint on operation time. Phase II also allowed industries to operate with 50% manpower capacity. Phase III lockdown relaxed furthermore with restrictions for peoples' movement. During phase I of lockdown complete restrictions for all the activities (urban, and industrial) including restrictions for peoples’ movement. During phase II of lockdown, commercial outlets (shops) were allowed to open with a constraint on operation time. Phase II also allowed industries to operate with 50% manpower capacity. Phase III lockdown relaxed furthermore with restrictions for peoples’ movement. Phase IV of lockdown is a kind of regular operation scenario with few restrictions.

Data Source

This research handles two kinds of data, i) data about six criteria pollutants (PM\textsubscript{10}, PM\textsubscript{2.5}, SO\textsubscript{2}, Ozone, NO\textsubscript{2}, and CO), and ii) database of climatic variables (Temperature, Relative Humidity, and Rainfall). The databases of criteria pollutants are taken from a monitoring station located at SIDCO, Kurichi (Figure 1) maintained by Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB, Continuous Ambient Air Quality Monitoring (CAAQM)). The 24-hours concentration from January 01, 2020, to October 31, 2020, of six criteria pollutants PM\textsubscript{10}, PM\textsubscript{2.5}, NO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2}, CO, and Ozone has been obtained from the CPCB online portal. To understand the behaviour of climatic variables in various phases of lockdown, the historical database from January 2019 to May 2021 is utilized from IMD (Indian Metrological Department) monitoring station.

Data processing and analysis

The data obtained from CPCB & IMD was further explored for its statistical characteristics a) Arithmetic Mean b) Standard Deviation c) Maximum value and d) Minimum value which is represented in Table 2 and 3. The database is subjected to pre-processing analysis to identify the noise and missing values in the monitored time series. From the raw database, it is observed that the data gap is less than 1% of the total data length. The identified data gaps are replaced using the weighted average method.

\[
W = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i} \quad (1)
\]

Where ‘W’ is the weighted average; ‘n’ is the number of terms to be averaged; \(w_i\) is the weights applied to \(x\) values and \(X\) is the data values to be averaged.

Correlation analysis [32] is performed to explore the relationship/association that exists between the variables (criteria pollutant, climatic variables, criteria pollutants, and climatic variables). The historical database has been prepared and the same is subjected to correlation analysis considering dependent variables and other independent variables.

\[
\text{Correlation Coefficient} = \frac{\sum (x-x \bar{x})(y-y \bar{y})}{(n-1) s_x s_y} \quad (2)
\]

where, \(S_x\) and \(S_y\) represent the sample standard deviations of the \(x\) and \(y\) data values, respectively.

Dose estimation

Inhalation exposure dose can be estimated by knowing the contaminant(air) concentration \((\text{mg/m}^3)\), air intake rate \((\text{m}^3/\text{day})\), exposure factor (unitless) and body weight \((\text{kg})\). The following equation (3) is used for estimating the inhalation exposure dose [33].

\[
\text{Inhalation exposure dose (D)} = \frac{(C \times IR \times EF)}{BW} \quad (3)
\]

This equation is used in the recent study [34]. The average concentration of PM2.5 during different phases of lockdown were used for estimating the inhalation exposure dose. Air intake rates suggested Exposure factors handbook of United States Environmental Protection Agency (1997) were utilized in this study. Air intake rate for girl 12-14 years is 12 m\textsuperscript{3}/day, boy 12-14 years 15 m\textsuperscript{3}/day.
**Table 1. Studies on COVID-19 Impact on Air Quality**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study Area (City, Country)</th>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Study Period</th>
<th>Key Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| [11]    | Almaty, Kazakhstan       | PM$_{10}$, NO$_x$, SO$_x$, CO, O$_3$, and BTEX | (2020) March 19 to April 14 | Reduction in PM$_{10}$, CO and NO$_x$ of about 35% during lockdown period. Increase in O$_3$ of about 30%.
| [12]    | New York City, USA       | PM$_{10}$, NO$_x$ | (2015-2020) January – May (17 weeks) | Decreases in PM$_{10}$ (26%) and NO$_x$ (49%) concentrations were observed.
| [13]    | Wuhan, China             | PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_x$, SO$_x$, CO, O$_3$, and BTEX | (2020) 1-22 January and 23 January-29 February | During the lockdown period reduction in PM$_{2.5}$ and NO$_x$ is about 35% and 60%.
| [14]    | North China Plain        | PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_x$, SO$_x$, CO & O$_3$ | (2020) January 23 - March 15 | Reduction PM$_{2.5}$ of about 17.7% during lockdown period.
| [15]    | Indian Cities Twenty-Two| PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, CO, NO$_x$, O$_3$ and SO$_x$ | (2017-2020) 16 March – 14 April | Reduction in PM$_{2.5}$ -43%, PM$_{10}$-31%, CO-10%, and NO$_x$-18% during lockdown in comparison with previous years.
| [16]    | New Delhi, Chennai, Kolkata, Mumbai and Hyderabad | PM$_{2.5}$ | (2020) 1 March-24 March, (25 March–31 May) and (1 June–31 August) | During lockdown period decrease in PM$_{2.5}$ of about 21.3%, 48.5%, 63.4%, 56.4%, and 23.8% in New Delhi, Chennai, Kolkata, Mumbai and Hyderabad.
| [17]    | Delhi, Mumbai, Chennai, Kolkata and Bangalore | PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_x$, O$_3$ and CO | (2019 and 2020) March–April | Except ozone there was statistically significant reduction in all the criteria pollutants. During lockdown reduction in PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_x$, and CO are about 41%, 52%, 51% and 28% at Delhi respectively.
| [18]    | Delhi                    | PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_x$, O$_3$, SO and NH$_3$ | (2020) 2 March – 21 March & 25 March- 14 April | The highest reduction in concentrations of PM$_{10}$ (60%), NO$_x$ (53%), PM$_{2.5}$ (39%), and CO levels (30%) were observed during the lockdown period.
| [19]    | Delhi, Kolkata, Mumbai and Hyderabad | PM$_{3.5}$, PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_x$, NO$_2$, SO$_x$, CO, SO$_2$, NH$_3$, O$_3$, BTEX, and AQI | Jan 2018 to July 2020 | A significant decline in PM$_{2.5}$ of 43.7% & 49.8% during lockdown period in Delhi and Kolkata. NO$_x$ has reduced to about 55% in Delhi during lockdown period.
| [20]    | India                    | PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, CO, NO$_x$, O$_3$, O$_2$, SO$_x$, and NH$_3$ | (2020) February 25 - March 20 & March 25 - April 25 | A reduction in tropospheric levels of NO$_x$, O$_3$ and LST was observed post- lockdown period. Improvement in AQI in India.
| [21]    | Ankleshwar and Vapi of Western India | PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, CO, NO$_x$, SO$_x$, and O$_3$ | (2020) 25 March – 31 May | PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, CO and SO$_x$ has rapidly reduced during the lockdown period. Drastic reduction in NO$_x$ and increase in O$_3$.
| [22]    | Beijing                  | NO2 and PM2.5 | (2015 – 2019) January to June | The results revealed that lockdown measures caused large reductions while meteorology offset a large fraction of the decrease in surface concentrations. Decline in NO$_x$ concentration to about 42% at the start of the lockdown.

---

day, female 19 – 65+ years is 11.3 m$^3$/day and male 19 – 65+ years is 15.2 m$^3$/day.

**Results**

**Criteria pollutant**

The monthly average concentration of the criteria pollutants PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_x$, SO$_x$, CO, and Ozone reported in CPCB monitoring station in the time of the Pre - Lockdown Period, During-Lockdown Period and Post-Lockdown period is shown in Figure 4. It was observed that during the lockdown period PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$, NO$_x$, SO$_x$, and Ozone concentrations seem to be minimum in comparison with a pre-lockdown period in Coimbatore city. During phase I of lockdown there was a sudden decrease in PM$_{10}$ (31%), PM$_{2.5}$ (42%), SO$_x$ (26%) & CO (29%) concentration, and an increase in NO$_x$ (66%) and Ozone (14%) concentration respectively in comparison with the pre-lockdown period. The reduction in PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ levels may be largely attributed to reduced emissions from various sources, especially to highlight emission from the transportation sector may be reduced due to restricted mobility to workplaces, colleges, and schools within the administrative boundary of Coimbatore. From Table 2, it is also observed that there is a reduction in SO$_x$ this may be due to reduced commercial activities and in and around the city vicinity. However, it is inferred that there is an increase in NO$_x$ levels this may be from industrial plumes that could with the limited human resource as per the policy decision [35]. The pattern of increase in ozone levels may be due to increased concentrations at the surface levels during the phase I lockdown. Further, during the phase II lockdown, it may be observed a pattern of decrease in the
concentration PM$_{10}$ (15%), PM$_{2.5}$ (22%) [36], NO$_x$ (20%) [37], SO$_2$ (1.5%) & ozone (35%) and increase in CO (63%) concentration. Table 2. The decrease in concentration levels during the phase II lockdown with phase I lockdown is comparatively less when compared to the pre-lockdown period. Because during phase I lockdown from March 25, 2020, to April 19, 2020, there were strict restrictions for all the activities like transportation sector, industrial sector, and local movements. Thus, during phase II lockdown, shops were opened during restricted timings which led to the local peoples’ movement which contributed to mild vehicular emission thus less emission of concentration was observed. During phase III & IV lock down the pollutant concentrations started to increase in PM$_{10}$ (15%) and PM$_{2.5}$ (22%) & decrease in NO$_x$ (24%), SO$_2$ (3.5%), CO (29%) & ozone (33%). The increase in PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration is because the state government announced the extension of lockdown with certain relaxations like the operation of construction sites, Textile Industry, Special Economic Zones, and IT sector with limited manpower maintaining social distance in Coimbatore city. However, there was a hike in concentrations during the post-lockdown period. Increase in PM$_{10}$ (44%) and PM$_{2.5}$ (45%), decrease in NO$_x$ (50%), SO$_2$ (2.5%), CO (28%) and Ozone (28%) concentration was observed. The increase in PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ is due to the emission from the industrial plumes as industries were allowed to operate. The CAAQM station is located in SIDCO, Coimbatore which is an industrial area with a cogent number of electroplating, textile, and motor industries. Thus, these industries may influence the increase in concentration levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Pre-Lockdown</th>
<th>During-Lockdown</th>
<th>Post-Lockdown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan 01 - Mar 24</td>
<td>Mar 25 - Apr 19</td>
<td>Apr 20 - May 03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$ (ug/m$^3$)</td>
<td>37.8 ± 7.7</td>
<td>25.9 ± 6.2</td>
<td>20.3 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ (ug/m$^3$)</td>
<td>58.25 - 22.25</td>
<td>34.87 - 10.43</td>
<td>25.14 - 2.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{2.5}$ (ug/m$^3$)</td>
<td>51 ± 12.9</td>
<td>29.79 ± 14.8</td>
<td>25.2 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM$_{10}$ (ug/m$^3$)</td>
<td>108.54 - 1.6</td>
<td>95.42 - 11.77</td>
<td>31.17 - 20.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$ (ug/m$^3$)</td>
<td>39.7 ± 17.1</td>
<td>66.0 ± 6.9</td>
<td>53 ± 17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_x$ (ug/m$^3$)</td>
<td>83.74 - 2.76</td>
<td>78 - 54.06</td>
<td>78.18 - 27.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$ (ug/m$^3$)</td>
<td>9 ± 2.4</td>
<td>6.63 ± 0.8</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (mg/m$^3$)</td>
<td>14.93 ± 1.44</td>
<td>8.83- 5.77</td>
<td>7.26 - 5.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (ug/m$^3$)</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (ug/m$^3$)</td>
<td>1.04 - 0.09</td>
<td>0.66 - 0.52</td>
<td>1.53 - 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (ug/m$^3$)</td>
<td>44.9 ± 12.2</td>
<td>32 ± 10.1</td>
<td>20.8 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozone (ug/m$^3$)</td>
<td>77.69 - 18.54</td>
<td>49.71 - 19.47</td>
<td>27.97 - 16.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
particulate pollutants.

The correlation analysis was performed for the criteria pollutants PM$_{10}$ (μg/m$^3$), PM$_{2.5}$ (μg/m$^3$), NO$_x$ (μg/m$^3$), SO$_2$ (μg/m$^3$), CO (mg/m$^3$), and Ozone (μg/m$^3$) during the Pre-Lockdown Period, During-Lockdown Period and Post-Lockdown period. Daily data of January 1, 2020, to October 31, 2020, are taken for the study, and the correlation results are represented in Table 3. R ≥0.5, 0.25≤R<0.5, and 0<R≤0.25 indicate strong, moderate, and weak positive correlations. Similarly, -0.25<R<0, -0.5<R≤-0.25, and R≤-0.5 indicate strong, moderate, and weak negative correlations. From Table 3, it is observed that during the pre-lockdown period PM$_{10}$ is highly correlated (R>0.5) with PM$_{2.5}$, and Ozone and Ozone are highly correlated (R>0.5) with PM$_{2.5}$ and SO$_2$. It is inferred, during the lockdown period higher correlation (R>0.5) was observed, PM$_{10}$ with PM$_{2.5}$, SO$_2$ with NO$_x$ and Ozone and Ozone with SO$_2$ and CO. Further, post-lockdown the highest correlated (R>0.5) pollutants are PM$_{10}$ with PM$_{2.5}$ and Ozone with CO.

The percentage contribution of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ during the lockdown has been reduced in comparison to the pre-lockdown period.
with pre-lockdown and post-lockdown as shown in Figure 5. Similarly, the percentage of NO\textsubscript{2} has gradually increased during the lockdown period in comparison with the pre-lockdown and post-lockdown period [38,39]. Post-lockdown similar kind of mixed results (PM\textsubscript{10}, PM\textsubscript{2.5}, NO\textsubscript{2}, SO\textsubscript{2}, CO, and Ozone) has been observed in other megacities in India [40]. On the other hand, the above reduction of PM levels as a result of a policy decision of lockdown has reduced the risk of particulate matter emitted which causes serious health effects such as Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD), Cardiovascular disease, Ischemic Heart Disease and
Respiratory diseases [41-43]. However, it is observed that all the criteria pollutant levels reported during Phase I and Phase II lockdown are within the permissible limits (CPCB standards) viz \( \text{PM}_{2.5} \), \( \text{NO}_2 \), \( \text{SO}_2 \), \( \text{CO} \), and Ozone, except \( \text{PM}_{10} \) which has exceeded during the pre-lockdown period. This shows that the pollutant concentrations are well within the limits, but due to the rapid increase in population and vehicular count, it may be inferred that the pollutant concentration might cross the permissible limits in the future. Further, all the criteria pollutants are at the verge of the permissible limit. \( \text{NO}_2 \) concentrations have increased during the lockdown period in comparison with pre-lockdown and post-lockdown which is represented in Figure 4. This is due to the intensified traffic and industrial plumes which were operated during restricted hours. The monitoring station located at Coimbatore city - SIDCO, is an industrial area surrounded by small-scale industries. A similar scenario is being observed in Chennai city where the monitoring station is located at Manali which is an industrial area. Since the industries were allowed to operate with 50% of the workforce with restricted timings. This has a great influence on the increase in \( \text{NO}_2 \) concentration during the lockdown period, a similar scenario has been observed in Chennai city [35]. It is observed, the source of \( \text{NO}_2 \) is closely associated with combustion from vehicles and industries [44]. Thus, due to the prolonged exposure to \( \text{NO}_2 \) causes respiratory and cardiovascular problems [45]. The above observation from the analysis highlights the need for source identification of \( \text{NO}_2 \) in the zone of monitoring location and effective management of the same.

**Climatic variables**

Table 4, represents the correlation matrix between rainfall and other climatic variables (Maximum Temperature, Minimum Temperature, Maximum Wind Speed, Average wind speed, and Relative Humidity).

![Figure 6. Precipitation During the Year 2019 and 2020](attachment:image.png)
Table 6. Correlation Analysis between Climatic Variables and Criteria Pollutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>PM$_{2.5}$ (ug/m$^3$)</th>
<th>PM$_{10}$ (ug/m$^3$)</th>
<th>NO$_2$ (ug/m$^3$)</th>
<th>SO$_2$ (ug/m$^3$)</th>
<th>CO (mg/m$^3$)</th>
<th>Ozone (ug/m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall (mm)</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative Humidity (%)</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed (kmph)</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Relationship between Male/Female Inhalation Exposure Dose

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Pre-LD</th>
<th>P-I</th>
<th>P-II</th>
<th>P-III</th>
<th>P-IV</th>
<th>Post-LD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-4.84</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>-3.69</td>
<td>-3.87</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3.71</td>
<td>-2.83</td>
<td>-2.82</td>
<td>-2.96</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ve sign indicates the reduction

From Table 4, it may be observed that there is a drastic change in the correlation relationship in the year 2020 compared to the year 2019. On the other hand, From Table 5 it may be observed that there is a 0.5 degree to 1-degree temperature fall during this lockdown period (phenomenon may be observed from March 2020 to May 2021). This fall in temperature is evident with the rise in associated relative humidity (Table 4). Figure 6, facilitates the process of visualizing the monthly rainfall for the years 2019 and 2020. From Figure 6, it may be observed that the rainfall pattern for the years 2019 and 2020 remains the same. However, in the year 2020, the precipitation has started from March with the first peak during April & the second peak during July. From Figure 6, it is inferred that there is a forward shift in the precipitation pattern during the year 2020. To further understand the shift of rainfall peak, skewness for the year 2019 is 1.35 and for the year 2020 is 0.83. The above skewness gives an indication of the early onset of monsoon in the year 2020 compared to the year 2019. From Table 6, it may be observed that in the year 2019, 36 rainy days have contributed to an annual precipitation of 601.8 mm. On the other hand, in the year 2020, annual precipitation of 719.4 mm has resulted in 43 rainy days. From the above, it may be inferred that number of rainy days is increased to contribute 19.5% higher rainfall in the year 2020 compared to 2019. On the other hand, the intensity of downpour (annual rainfall to number rainy days) remains almost the same of 16.71 mm/day (the year 2019), and 16.73 mm/day (the year 2020). From the above analysis, it may be inferred that irrespective of the year 2019 (Pre lockdown) or year 2020 (during lockdown) the intensity of downpour remains constant with early onset of monsoon during lockdown with a temporal spread (across March to December of the Year 2020) of rainfall [46].

Climatic variables and criteria pollutants

From the correlation analysis between climatic variables and criteria pollutants (Table 6), it may be observed that Temperature has a positive correlation with the criteria pollutants. Whereas, other climatic variables (relative humidity, Wind speed, and Rainfall) have a negative correlation with the criteria pollutants. From Table 6, it may be inferred SO2 & Ozone have a strong influence on all the climatic variables. From the above sentence, it may be stated that reduction in SO2 & Ozone level during the lockdown phase, resulted in decreasing trend of atmospheric temperature and thereby reflecting an increasing trend of relative humidity and rainfall [47]. Wind speed has a strong negative correlation with criteria pollutants except for CO, it states that when wind speed increases result in reduced criteria pollutant levels. During the lockdown phase, the generation of criteria pollutants is reduced thereby density of near atmosphere air reduces. Similarly, it is statistically proven that cities with high wind speed have a lesser number of COVID-19 cases [48] and it is evident that pollutant parameters have an influence on climatic variables [49]. The geographical location of Coimbatore (foothills of western ghats) creates turbulence in the wind flow through wind gusts [50], which resulted in dispersion or dilution of criteria pollutants resulting in a positive response in the microclimatic condition.

Inhalation Exposure Dose

Table 7 shows the Inhaled exposure dose (mg/kg/day) for different age groups (male and female) during different phases of lockdown period. Table 7 depicts the variation in male and female inhalation exposure dose during the different phases of lockdown scenarios. From Table 7 it may be noted that the inhaled dose trend differs with respect to age group and inflow rates. The inhaled dose for the 12-14 age group experienced maximum (both male and female) dose when compared to other age groups viz, 16-20, 21-40 and 41-19 years. A study in Coimbatore which shows that the number of persons exposed to dust is higher viz 383, where the children below the age of 10 (80%) are more likely to be affected by asthma than the adult age group [51]. This clearly shows that the air intake rate for children which is higher than the adults have great influence in the inhalation dose. Thus, the children are exposed to respiratory disease like asthma. However, pre-lockdown and post-lockdown has slight increase in inhaled exposure dose in comparison during lockdown (phase I, II, III & IV) irrespective of all the age groups. Even though the concentration is reduced in phases of lockdown, it is observed that the pattern of inhaled dose
remains same viz constant decrease in percentage during different phases of lockdown. This can be clearly seen, in comparison with 12-14 (female) age group there is a decrease in 11%,21% and 24% decrease in inhaled dose for 16-20,21-40 and 41-49 (female) age group. Similarly for male there is decrease in 14%,18% and 24% for 16-20, 21-40 and 41-49 (male) age group when compared with 12-14 (male) age group. Since the air intake rate is higher for male (category 12-14 years) increases the risk to respiratory diseases like asthma, Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease and even Pulmonary diseases [52]. The dosage of male (category 12-14 years) is 3% higher during pre-lockdown, and 2% higher during (P-I, II, III, IV and post lockdown) when compared to female (category 12-14 years).

Discussion and Conclusion

In conclusion, this research explores, i) whether policy decisions (lockdown) have an influence on the criteria pollutant levels, ii) influence of criteria pollutants on microclimatic condition considering the geographical location, and iii) Impact of lockdown decision on inhalation exposure dose with respect to various phases, population age group, and gender. From the results, the following are the key observations: i) Phase I & Phase II lockdown decision has a strong influence on the criteria pollutant levels. ii) Strict lockdown decision during June 2020 is reflected in the lower criteria pollutant levels during July 2020. iii) Relaxation in the operation of urban & industrial activity post-July 2020, has a direct relationship with the gradually increasing trend of criteria pollutants. iv) Reduced criteria pollutant levels have influenced the early onset of monsoon with temporal distribution of precipitation and increased number of rainy days. v) The geographical location of Coimbatore along the foothills of western ghats may have influenced the dispersion of reduced levels of criteria pollutants through wind gusts during the lockdown. vi) From the Inhalation exposure dose assessment, it is inferred that lock down decision has positive impact on reducing mg/m³ pollutant exposure.

From the results, it may be concluded that the geographical location can disperse/dilute the criteria pollutants to an acceptable load/emission level. Now the research has to be more focused to define the optimal load of emission from the known point sources taking the benefit of geographical location. This may result in urban areas remaining as liveable spaces with a concept of sustainable development. Similarly, the vulnerable population is the age group of <12 years due to their high air intake volume compared to other population group. Thus, resulting into probable increase in the chance of respiratory diseases.

Data Availability

Dataset derived from public resources. These datasets were derived from the following public domain resource: https://cpcb.nic.in/
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